arXiv:1608.01384v1 [math.PR] 3 Aug 2016

Censored subordinate Brownian motion

Vanja Wagner*†

Department of Mathematics, University of Zagreb

Abstract

We examine three equivalent constructions of a censored rotationally symmetric Lévy process on an open set D; via the corresponding Dirichlet form, through the Feynman-Kac transform of the Lévy process killed outside of D and from the same killed process by the Ikeda-Nagasawa-Watanabe piecing together procedure. We prove the trace theorem on n-sets for Besov spaces of generalized smoothness associated with complete Bernstein functions satisfying certain scaling conditions. Using this result, we analyze the boundary behavior of the corresponding censored subordinate Brownian motion and determine conditions under which the process approaches the boundary ∂D in finite time. Furthermore, we prove the 3G inequality and its generalized version for Green functions of the subordinate Brownian motion on κ-fat open sets. Finally, we obtain the scale invariant Harnack inequality for the corresponding censored process.

AMS Subject Classification: 60J75, 60G51, 46E35, 60G17, 60J45
Keywords: Censored subordinate Brownian motion, Dirichlet form, Besov spaces of generalized smoothness, 3G inequality, Harmonic function, Scale invariant Harnack inequality

1 Introduction

Censored subordinate Brownian motion on an open set D in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a process obtained by restricting (censoring) the jumping measure of the subordinate Brownian motion to $D \times D$, i.e. by suppressing its jumps outside of D. Censored stable processes, obtained from the symmetric α-stable Lévy process, have been introduced by Bogdan, Burdzy and Chen in [1], where they analyzed its boundary behaviour, as well as several potential-theoretic properties. Censored stable and stable-like processes have been the center of study of several following papers, for example [2], [3], [4], [5]. The main goal of this paper is to introduce censored processes corresponding to a wider class of discontinuous symmetric Lévy processes, as well as consider their boundary behavior and prove the Harnack inequality.

*This work was supported by the Croatian Science Foundation under the project 3526
†wagner@math.hr

Let $X = (X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be the rotationally symmetric Lévy process in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ an open set. In Section 3 we define the censored process $Y = (Y_t)_{t\geq 0}$ on D corresponding to X and analyze its behavior near the boundary ∂D. Following the approach in [1], we define the censored process Y through its associated Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ and present two equivalent constructions - through the Feynman-Kac transform of the killed process $X^D = (X_t^D)_{t\geq 0}$ and by the Ikeda-Nagasawa-Watanabe piecing together procedure applied to X^D. These three construction methods provide a wide range of analysis techniques which we use throughout the paper.

From this point on we restrict ourselves to the censored process corresponding to a subordinate Brownian motion with the Laplace exponent of the subordinator $\phi \in \mathcal{CBF}$ satisfying one or both of the scaling conditions **(H1)** and **(H2)**. In order to analyze the boundary behaviour of Y we consider the reflected process Y^* corresponding to Y introduced in [6] and relate these two processes through their corresponding Dirichlet forms $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ and $(\mathcal{E}^{\text{ref}}, \mathcal{F}^{\text{ref}}_{\text{a}})$. By showing that $(\mathcal{E}^{\text{ref}}, \mathcal{F}^{\text{ref}}_{\text{a}})$ is the trace form on a n-set $\overline{D}$ of the Dirichlet form associated with X, we can interpret the censored process Y as the reflected process Y^* killed upon hitting the boundary ∂D. This is done by associating the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{R}^n})$ with the Besov spaces of generalized smoothness $H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and proving the trace theorem for this space on n-sets in A and B. Therefore, the question of Y approaching the boundary in finite time is equivalent to the question of polarity of the boundary for Y^*. Finally, we give a characterization of polar sets for Y^* in terms of X and prove the main results of this section, Corollary 3.8 and Theorem 3.9, similarly as in [1].

In Section 4 we prove the so called 3G inequality for subordinate Brownian motion X on bounded κ-fat open sets and its generalized version. The 3G inequality and generalized 3G inequality are essential tools in obtaining sharp two-sided Green function estimates for local and non-local perturbations of subordinate Brownian motion, see [7] and [8]. The goal is to show that for every $R > 0$ and every ball $B \subset D$ of radius $r \leq R$ the Green functions of the killed processes Y^B and X^B are comparable, with constants depending only on R, n and ϕ. Since the Green function G_B^X of the killed subordinate Brownian motion X^B does not have the scaling property as in the α-stable case, the following form of the 3G inequality is needed.

Theorem 1.1 *(3G theorem)*
Let $r > 0$, $a > 0$, $\kappa \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ and $\Phi(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\phi(\lambda^{-2})}$, $\lambda > 0$. There exists a constant $c_1 = c_1(r, a, \kappa, \phi, n) > 0$ such that

$$\frac{G_B(x,y)G_B(y,z)}{G_B(x,z)} \leq c_1 \frac{\Phi(|x-y|)\Phi(|y-z|)}{\Phi(|x-z|)} \frac{|x-z|^n}{|x-y|^n|y-z|^n} \tag{1.1}$$

for every bounded κ-fat open set B with characteristics (R, κ) such that $diam(B) \leq r$ and $\frac{R}{diam(B)} \geq a$ and $x, y, z \in B$.

Using the results from the previous section and the representation of the censored process as a Feynman-Kac transform of the killed process X^D, in Section 5 we prove the scale invariant Harnack inequality for non-negative harmonic functions for the censored process Y.

Finally, in A and B we give basic definitions and results regarding Besov spaces of generalized smoothness and prove the trace theorem for a special subclass of these spaces on n-sets, used in Section 3. These spaces were introduced in the seventies by M.L. Goldman and G.A. Kalyabin

as a generalization of the classical Sobolev and Besov spaces. Since then they have been studied by many authors from various points of view. Here we adopt the standpoint of a Fourier analytic characterization considered by Farkas and Leopold in [9] and [10]. The proof of the trace theorem from B follows the standard approach for classical Besov spaces from [11]. A trace theorem for generalized Besov spaces corresponding to Bernstein functions satisfying slightly weaker assumptions was presented by Knopova and Zähle in [12]. In order to make the theory of generalized Besov spaces more approachable in the context of this paper, we present a complete and detailed proof of the trace theorem. Furthermore, as a consequence of the results from Section 3 we give conditions under which the trace space $H^{\psi,1}(D)$ is equal to $H_0^{\psi,1}(D)$, the smallest closed subspace of $H^{\psi,1}(D)$ containing $C_c^\infty(D)$.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\phi : (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ be a complete Bernstein function, $\phi \in \mathcal{CBF}$, with killing term and drift zero, i.e.

$$\phi(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-\lambda t})\nu(t)dt,$$

where the density ν satisfies the condition $\int_0^\infty (1\wedge t)\nu(t)dt < \infty$. By [13, Lemma 2.1] every Bernstein function ϕ satisfies the following useful result,

$$1 \wedge \lambda \leq \frac{\phi(\lambda r)}{\phi(r)} \leq 1 \vee \lambda, \quad \lambda, r > 0, \tag{2.1}$$

which we will use throughout this paper. Let $W = (W_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be the n-dimensional Brownian motion and $S = (S_t)_{t\geq 0}$ a subordinator independent of W with the Laplace exponent ϕ,

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\lambda S_t}] = e^{-t\phi(\lambda)}, \quad t \geq 0,\ \lambda > 0.$$

Let $X = (X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be the subordinate Brownian motion defined by $X_t = W_{S_t}$, that is a Lévy process with characteristic exponent $\psi(\xi) = \phi(|\xi|^2)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with Lévy density

$$j(|x|) = \int_0^\infty (4\pi s)^{-n/2} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4s^2}} \nu(s)ds, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We will assume that the complete Bernstein function ϕ satisfies one or both of the following scaling conditions, **(H1)**: There exist constants $0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 < 1$ and $a_1, a_2 > 0$ such that

$$a_1\lambda^{\delta_1}\phi(t) \leq \phi(\lambda t) \leq a_2\lambda^{\delta_2}\phi(t), \quad \lambda \geq 1,\ t \geq 1.$$

(H2): There exist constants $0 < \delta_3 \leq \delta_4 < 1$ and $a_3, a_4 > 0$ such that

$$a_3\lambda^{\delta_3}\phi(t) \leq \phi(\lambda t) \leq a_4\lambda^{\delta_4}\phi(t), \quad \lambda \geq 1,\ t < 1.$$

For an open set B in $\mathbb{R}^n$ let $\tau_B = \inf\{t > 0 : X_t \notin B\}$ be the first exit time of X from B and $X^B = (X_t^B)_{t\geq 0}$ the process X killed upon exiting B, that is

$$X_t^B = \begin{cases} X_t, & t \leq \tau_B \\ \partial, & t \geq \tau_B \end{cases},$$

where ∂ is the so-called cemetery state. Process X^B has an absolutely continuous transition kernel with a symmetric density $p_t^B(x,y)$. We call an open set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ Greenian if the process X^B is transient and denote by $G_B(x,y) = \int_0^\infty p_t^B(x,y)dt$ the Green function of X^B.

Definition 2.1 *Let U be an open subset in $\mathbb{R}^n$. A Borel measurable function u on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is harmonic on U with respect to X if*

$$u(x) = \mathbb{E}_x[u(X_{\tau_B})], \quad x \in B,$$

for every bounded open set B such that $\overline{B} \subset U$. A harmonic function u is regular harmonic in U if

$$u(x) = \mathbb{E}_x[u(X_{\tau_U}),\, \tau_U < \infty], \quad x \in U.$$

Function $x \mapsto G_B(x,y)$ is harmonic in $B\setminus\{y\}$ with respect to X and regular harmonic in $B\setminus B(y,\varepsilon)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. From the Ikeda-Watanabe formula it follows that the density of the joint $\mathbb{P}_x$-distribution of $(X_{\tau_B-}, X_{\tau_B})$ conditioned on $\{X_{\tau_B-} \neq X_{\tau_B},\, \tau_B < \infty\}$ is given by

$$g_x(y,z) = G_B(x,y)j(|y-z|), \quad (y,z) \in B \times \overline{B}^c.$$

Then the density function of the $\mathbb{P}_x$-distribution of X_{τ_B} is determined by the Poisson kernel K_B,

$$K_B(x,z) = \int_B G_B(x,y)j(|y-z|)dy, \quad x \in B,\, z \in \overline{B}^c. \tag{2.2}$$

Next we recall several notions of capacity and polarity. Let $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$ be a Dirichlet form on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{E}_1 := \mathcal{E} + (\cdot,\cdot)_{L^2}$. A Dirichlet form is regular if it possesses a core, that is if there exists a subset $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is dense in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ with respect to the $\mathcal{E}_1$-norm and in $C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with respect to the uniform norm. A core $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ is said to be standard if it is a dense linear subspace of $C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$ be a regular Dirichlet form on corresponding to a symmetric Hunt process X in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 2.2 *(i) $\mathcal{E}$-capacity (1-capacity) of a set is defined in the following way; for an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$*

$$\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathcal{E}}(U) = \inf\{\mathcal{E}_1(u,u) : u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}),\, u \geq 1 \text{ a.e. on } U\},$$

and for $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ arbitrary set, $\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathcal{E}}(A) = \inf\{\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathcal{E}}(U) : A \subset U \text{ open }\}$. We also use the notation Cap_X instead of $\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathcal{E}}$.

(ii) We say that a statement depending on $x \in A$ holds $\mathcal{E}$-quasi-everywhere (q.e.) on A if there exists a set $N \subset A$ of zero $\mathcal{E}$-capacity such that the statement is true for every $x \in A \setminus N$.

(iii) We say that $u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ is quasi continuous if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an open set U such that $\mathrm{Cap}_{\mathcal{E}}(U) < \varepsilon$ and $u_{|U^c}$ is continuous.

By [14, Theorem 2.1.3] every function $u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ admits a quasi-continuous modification $\tilde{u}$, i.e. there exists a quasi-continuous function $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$ such that $u = \tilde{u}$ a.e. The Dirichlet form for the killed process X^B is $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})^B)$, where $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})^B = \{u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) : u = 0 \text{ q.e. on } B^c\}$. A set $A \subset B$ is of zero capacity for X^B if and only if it is of zero capacity for X, [14, Theorem 4.4.3].

Definition 2.3 *(i) A set A is called $\mathcal{E}$-polar if there is a Borel measurable set $B \supset A$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_B^X < \infty)dx = 0.$$

(ii) A set A is called polar for the process X if there is a Borel measurable set $B \supset A$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\sigma_B^X < \infty) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Remark 2.4 *Since the subordinate Brownian motion X has a continuous transition density then by [14, Theorem 4.1.2] two definitions of polarity coincide. Also, since $\mathrm{Cap}_X(K) < \infty$ for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, by [FOT10, Theorem 4.2.1] a set A is polar for X if and only if $\mathrm{Cap}_X(A) = 0$.*

For easier notation we define the function $\Phi(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\phi(\lambda^{-2})}$, $\lambda > 0$ and denote by d the diagonal in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. For a bounded set B in $\mathbb{R}^n$ let $\mathrm{diam}(B) := \sup\{|x-y| : x, y \in B\}$, $d(x, B) := \inf\{|x-y| : y \in B\}$ and $\delta_B(x) = d(x, B^c)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We say that functions f and g are comparable and denote $f \asymp g$ if there exists a constant $c > 1$ such that $c^{-1}g(x) \leq f(x) \leq cg(x)$ for all x. For a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ let

$$D^\alpha f(x) = \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1}} ... \frac{\partial^{\alpha_n}}{\partial x_n^{\alpha_n}} f(x)$$

and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + ... + \alpha_n$. Denote by $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of infinitely differentiable functions and functions from $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support, respectively. Let $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the Schwartz space on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the family of tempered distributions. By $\hat{f}$ and $\check{f}$ denote the Fourier and inverse Fourier transform of f respectively.

3 Construction and boundary behaviour

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space and $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a rotationally symmetric Lévy process in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the generating triplet $(0, 0, \nu)$, where the Lévy measure ν has a radial density j. The Fourier transform of the transition probability of X is characterized by the characteristic exponent ψ,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{i\xi \cdot X_t}\right] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\xi x} p_t(dx) = e^{-t\psi(\xi)},$$

where $\psi(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} (1 - \cos(x \cdot \xi))\, j(|x|)dx$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The regular Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{R}^n})$ associated with X is given by

$$\mathcal{C}(u,v) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}} (u(x+y)-u(x))(v(x+y)-v(x))j(|y|)dy\,dx$$
$$\mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{R}^n} = \left\{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : \mathcal{C}(u,u) < \infty\right\}.$$

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set and X^D the process X killed upon exiting D. Since $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a special standard core for $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{R}^n})$ it follows that $C_c^\infty(D)$ is a special standard core for $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}^D)$. So $\mathcal{F}^D$ is the closure of $C_c^\infty(D)$ under the norm generated by $\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C} + (\cdot,\cdot)_{L^2(D)}$. For $u, v \in \mathcal{F}^D$ we can write

$$\mathcal{C}(u,v) = \frac{1}{2}\int_D\int_D (u(x)-u(y))(v(x)-v(y))j(|x-y|)dxdy + \int_D u(x)v(x)\kappa_D(x)dx,$$

where $\kappa_D(x) = \int_{D^c} j(|x-y|)dy$ is called the *killing density* of X^D. It is also the density of the killing measure from the Beurling-Deny representation of the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}^D)$, [14, Section 3.2]. By removing this killing part from $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}^D)$ we obtain a new Dirichlet form: for every $u, v \in C_c^\infty(D)$ let

$$\mathcal{E}(u,v) = \frac{1}{2}\int_D\int_D (u(x)-u(y))(v(x)-v(y))j(|x-y|)dx\,dy.$$

By Fatou's lemma the symmetric form $(\mathcal{E}, C_c^\infty(D))$ is closable in $L^2(D)$, i.e. for every sequence $u_n \in C_c^\infty(D)$ such that $u_n \xrightarrow{L^2} 0$

$$\mathcal{E}(u_n - u_m, u_n - u_m) \xrightarrow{n,m\to\infty} 0 \;\Rightarrow\; \mathcal{E}(u_n, u_n) \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} 0,$$

so we take $\mathcal{F}$ to be the closure of $C_c^\infty(D)$ under the inner product $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E} + (\cdot,\cdot)_{L^2(D)}$. The closed symmetric form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is Markovian since it operates on a normal contraction, i.e. for $u \in \mathcal{F}$ and $v \in L^2(D)$,

$$|v(x)-v(y)| \le |u(x)-u(y)|,\ |v(x)| \le |u(x)|,\ \forall x,y \;\Rightarrow\; \mathcal{E}(v,v) \le \mathcal{E}(u,u).$$

Therefore, the form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is a regular Dirichlet form. By Theorem [14, Theorem 7.21] there exists a symmetric Hunt process Y associated with $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$, taking values in D with lifetime ζ. We call Y the *censored (resurrected) process* associated with X. So the censored process Y can be interpreted as the process obtained from the Lévy process X by restricting its jumping measure to $D \times D$.

The following theorem is the analogue of [1, Theorem 2.1] and gives us two alternative constructions of the censored process, by using the Ikeda-Nagasawa-Watanabe piecing together procedure and by resurrection through a Feynman-Kac transform.

Theorem 3.1 *The following processes have the same distribution*

(i) The symmetric Hunt process Y associated with the regular Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ on $L^2(D)$.

(ii) The Hunt process obtained from the symmetric Levy process X^D in D through the Ikeda-Nagasawa-Watanabe piecing together procedure.

(iii) The Hunt process obtained from X^D through the Feynman-Kac transform $e^{\int_0^t \kappa_D(X_s^D)ds}$.

Proof. First we prove the equivalence of (i) and (ii). Let $A = (A_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a positive continuous additive functional for Y defined by $A_t = \int_0^t \kappa_D(Y_s)ds$, $t \geq 0$. Note that the Revuz measure of A has a density equal to the killing density κ_D. Let $Y^\kappa = (Y_t^\kappa)_{t\geq 0}$ be a process obtained from Y through the Feynman-Kac transform with respect to the multiplicative functional $(e^{-A_t})_{t\geq 0}$,

$$\mathbb{E}_x[f(Y_t^\kappa)] = \mathbb{E}_x\left[e^{-A_t} f(Y_t)\right] \tag{3.1}$$

for every bounded Borel function f on D. The process Y^κ is a symmetric Hunt process with lifetime ζ^κ and the associated Dirichlet form on $L^2(D)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{E}^\kappa(u,v) = \mathcal{E}(u,v) + \int_D u(x)v(x)\kappa_D(x)dx \\
&\mathcal{F}^\kappa = \mathcal{F} \cap L^2(D, \kappa_D(x)dx).
\end{aligned}$$

The Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^\kappa, \mathcal{F}^\kappa)$ is regular on $L^2(D)$ with special standard core $C_c^\infty(D)$. Since $\mathcal{E}^\kappa = \mathcal{C}$ on $\mathcal{F}^\kappa \cap \mathcal{F}^D$ and $C_c^\infty(D) \subset \mathcal{F}^\kappa \cap \mathcal{F}^D$ it follows that

$$(\mathcal{E}^\kappa, \mathcal{F}^\kappa) = (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}^D). \tag{3.2}$$

This implies that the processes Y^κ and X^D are equivalent, i.e. that they have the same distribution quasi-everywhere. Since Y^κ is a subprocess of Y, by [15, Section III.3.] we can alternatively obtain it by killing Y at the random time ζ^κ, where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\zeta^\kappa \leq \zeta \text{ a.s.}, \\
&\mathbb{P}_x(\zeta^\kappa > 0) = 1, \, \forall x \in D \\
&t + \zeta^\kappa \circ \theta_t = \zeta^\kappa \text{ on } \{\zeta^\kappa > t\}, \text{ for all } t \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $(Y^{\kappa,j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of independent copies of the process Y^κ and let $\zeta^{\kappa,j}$ be the lifetime of $Y^{\kappa,j}$. Define the sequence of random times $(\tau_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau_1 = \zeta^{\kappa,1}, \\
&\tau_{j+1} = \begin{cases} \tau_j + \zeta^{\kappa,j+1} \circ \theta_{\tau_j}, & \tau_j < \zeta \\ \zeta, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.
\end{aligned}$$

We will show that this increasing sequence converges almost surely to ζ. Denote by $\eta = \lim_{j\to\infty} \tau_j$ and note that $\eta \leq \zeta$ a.s. Define a subprocess Z of Y by

$$Z_t(\omega) = \begin{cases} Y_t(\omega), & t < \eta(\omega) \\ \partial, & t \geq \eta(\omega) \end{cases}, \quad t \geq 0, \, \omega \in \Omega.$$

Process Z is again a symmetric Hunt process so by its quasi-left continuity

$$\mathbb{P}(\eta < \zeta) = \mathbb{P}(Z_{\eta-} \in D,\, \eta < \infty) = \mathbb{P}\left(\lim_{j\to\infty} Z_{\tau_j} \in D,\, \eta < \infty\right) = \mathbb{P}(Z_\eta \in D, \eta < \infty) = 0.$$

Therefore $\eta = \zeta$ a.s. Now using the Ikeda-Nagasawa-Watanabe piecing together procedure from [16] we define a new process $Y^{(1)}$ in the following way. Let $x \in D$ be an arbitrary starting point for Y. For a given $\omega \in \Omega$ we start the processes $Y^{\kappa,j}$ at the following points:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0^{\kappa,1}(\omega) &= x \\ Y_0^{\kappa,j+1}(\omega) &= Y^{\kappa,j}_{\zeta^{\kappa,j}(\omega)-}(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$Y_t^{(1)}(\omega) = \begin{cases} Y_t^{\kappa,1}(\omega), & 0 \le t < \tau_1(\omega) \\ Y^{\kappa,j+1}_{t-\tau_j(\omega)}(\omega), & \tau_j(\omega) \le t < \tau_{j+1}(\omega),\, \tau_j(\omega) < \zeta(\omega) \\ \partial, & t \ge \zeta(\omega) \end{cases}$$

and n that the piecing together procedure is repeated countably many times. By [16, Proposition 4.2] process $Y^{(1)}$ is a symmetric Hunt process on D with lifetime ζ. From the construction of Y^κ it follows that for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$Y_t \overset{d}{=} Y^{\kappa,j+1}_{t-\tau_j} \quad \text{on} \quad \{\tau_j \le t \le \tau_j + \zeta^{\kappa,j+1} \circ \tau_j,\, Y_{\tau_j} = Y_0^{\kappa,j}\},$$

so by the strong Markov property it follows that $Y^{(1)}$ is a version of the process Y corresponding to the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$.

Next we show the equivalence of (i) and (iii). Let $Y^{(2)}$ be the Feynman-Kac transform of X^D through the positive continuous additive functional $B_t = \int_0^t \kappa_D(X_s^D)ds$. Then for any bounded Borel function f on D

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_x[f(Y_t^{(2)})] &= \mathbb{E}_x\left[e^{\int_0^t \kappa_D(X_s^D)ds} f(X_t^D)\right] \overset{(3.2)}{=} \mathbb{E}_x\left[e^{\int_0^t \kappa_D(Y_s^\kappa)ds} f(Y_t^\kappa)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_x\left[e^{-\int_0^t \kappa_D(Y_s)ds} e^{\int_0^t \kappa_D(Y_s)ds} f(Y_t)\right] = \mathbb{E}_x[f(Y_t)], \end{aligned}$$

that is $Y^{(2)} \overset{D}{=} Y$. Therefore Y can also be obtained from X^D by creation at rate κ_D. □

From the construction of the censored process Y through the Ikeda-Nagasawa-Watanabe piecing together procedure it follows that the censored process Y can be obtained from the symmetric Lévy process X by suppressing its jumps from D to the complement D^c. Several useful properties of the censored process follow directly from Theorem 3.1.

Remark 3.2 *If a Levy process X has a transition density then so does the killed process X^D. By [17, Theorem 3.10.] and Theorem 3.1(iii) it follows that the corresponding censored process Y also has an absolutely continuous transition measure. The censored process Y is also irreducible.*

Let X be the subordinate Brownian motion such that the Laplace exponent of the subordinator ϕ is a complete Bernstein function satisfying (**H1**) and (**H2**). In order to investigate the boundary behavior of the corresponding censored process we introduce a new process through its Dirichlet form. Let $(\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{ref}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{ref}})$ be a Dirichlet form on $L^2(D)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{ref}} = \left\{ u \in L^2(D) : \frac{1}{2} \int_D \int_D (u(x) - u(y))^2 j(|x-y|) dx\, dy < \infty \right\}$$
$$\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{ref}}(u,v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_D \int_D (u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y)) j(|x-y|) dx\, dy, \quad u, v \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{ref}}.$$

The Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{ref}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{ref}})$ is not necessarily regular for every open set D. Using the trace theorem for Besov spaces of generalized smoothness on n-sets and the analogue of [1, Theorem 2.2] we show that $(\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{ref}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{ref}})$ is the active reflected Dirichlet form associated with $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ in the sense of Silverstein, see [18, Theorem 6.2.13 and Section 6.3].

Theorem 3.3 *Trace theorem*
Let D be a n-set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and ϕ a complete Bernstein function such that **(H1)** *and* **(H2)** *hold, then the normed spaces $(\mathcal{F}_a^{ref}, \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_1^{ref}})$ is the trace space of $(\mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{R}^n}, \sqrt{C_1})$ on D, that is there exist operators $R : \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{R}^n} \to \mathcal{F}_a^{ref}$ and $E : \mathcal{F}_a^{ref} \to \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ such that*

$$Ru = u \text{ a.e. on } D \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_1^{ref}(Ru, Ru) \le c_1 \mathcal{C}_1(u,u), \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{R}^n} \tag{3.3}$$
$$Eu = u \text{ a.e. on } D \text{ and } \mathcal{C}_1(Eu, Eu) \le c_2 \mathcal{E}_1^{ref}(u,u), \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{F}_a^{ref} \tag{3.4}$$

for some constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and that

$$REu = u \text{ a.e. on } D \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{F}_a^{ref}. \tag{3.5}$$

Operator R satisfying (3.3) *is called the continuous restriction operator and operator E satisfying* (3.4) *and* (3.5) *is called the continuous extension operator.*

Using Theorem 3.3, proofs of the following results are equivalent to ones in [1], so we omit them here.

Theorem 3.4 *Let D be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^{ref}, \mathcal{F}_a^{ref})$ is the active reflected Dirichlet form associated with $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$, i.e.*

$$\mathcal{F}_a^{ref} = \{u \in L^2(D) : u_k = ((-k) \vee u) \wedge k \in \mathcal{F}_{loc} \text{ and } \sup_k \mathcal{E}^{ref}(u_k.u_k) < \infty\}$$
$$\mathcal{E}^{ref}(u,u) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \mathcal{E}^{ref}(u_k, u_k).$$

Here $f \in \mathcal{F}_{loc}$ if for every relatively compact open set D_0 in D there exists a function $f_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $f = f_0$ a.e. on D_0.

By [18, Theorem 6.6.3] the active reflected Dirichlet form is a Silverstein extension of the corresponding regular Dirichlet form. This means that the space $\mathcal{F}_b$ of bounded functions in $\mathcal{F}$ is an ideal in space $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ref}}_{a,b}$ of bounded functions in $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ref}}_{a,b}$, i.e. $\mathcal{F}_b \subset \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ref}}_{a,b}$ and $fg \in \mathcal{F}_b$ for every $f \in \mathcal{F}_b$, $g \in \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ref}}_{a,b}$. Furthermore, by [18, Theorem 6.6.5, Remark 6.6.7] a Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^*, \mathcal{F}^*)$ is a Silverstein extension of a quasi-regular Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ on $L^2(D)$ if and only if there exists a symmetric Hunt process Y^* associated with the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^*, \mathcal{F}^*)$ that extends Y to some state space D^* which contains D as an $\mathcal{E}^*$-quasi-open subset of D^* up to an $\mathcal{E}$-polar set. Therefore, there exists a compactification D^* of D such that the active reflected Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{ref}}, \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ref}}_{\mathrm{a}})$ is regular on $L^2(D^*)$ and we call the corresponding process Y^* the *reflected process* associated with the process Y. The set $D^* \setminus D$ is Lebesgue negligible, but not necessarily of zero $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{ref}}$-capacity. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is the $\mathcal{E}_1$-closure of $C_c^\infty(D)$, the process Y^* killed upon leaving D has the same distribution as Y.

First we state a results which is the analogue of [1, Theorem 2.4].

Theorem 3.5 *Let D be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with finite Lebesgue measure and ζ the lifetime of process Y. The following statements are equivalent*

(i) $\mathbb{P}_x(\zeta < \infty) > 0$ *for some (and hence for all)* $x \in D$*;*

(ii) $\mathbb{P}_x(\zeta < \infty) = 1$ *for some (and hence for all)* $x \in D$*;*

(iii) $1 \notin \mathcal{F}$*;*

(iv) $\mathcal{F} \neq \mathcal{F}^{ref}_a$*.*

When D is an open n-set in $\mathbb{R}^n$, Theorem 3.3 says that the reflected Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{ref}}, \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ref}}_a)$ is actually the trace Dirichlet form of $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{R}^n})$ on $\overline{D}$, see [14, (6.2.4)]. Since $C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the special standard core in $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{R}^n})$, by [14, Theorem 6.2.1] $C_c^\infty(\overline{D})$ is a special standard core for $(\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{ref}}, \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ref}}_a)$, and therefore $(\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{ref}}, \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ref}}_a)$ is a regular Dirichlet form on $L^2(\overline{D})$. This means that we can take $D^* = \overline{D}$ and that there exists a Hunt process Y^* on $\overline{D}$ such that Y can be represented as the process Y^* killed upon hitting the boundary ∂D.

Remark 3.6 *If $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq \mathcal{F}^{ref}_a$ then Y is a proper subprocess of Y^* and ∂D is not polar for Y^*. This implies that*

$$\mathbb{P}_x(Y_{\zeta-} \in \partial D, \zeta < \infty) > 0, \quad \forall x \in D.$$

Additionally, if D has finite Lebesgue measure, Y^* is recurrent and therefore ζ is finite almost surely and

$$\mathbb{P}_x(Y_{\zeta-} \in \partial D, \zeta < \infty) = 1, \quad \forall x \in D.$$

So we see that the question of boundary behavior of the censored process Y is related to $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{ref}}$-polarity of the boundary ∂D. Since every compact set is of finite capacity, by [14, Theorem 4.2.1] a set A is $\mathcal{E}$-polar if and only if $\mathrm{Cap}_Y(A) = 0$. The same is true for $\mathcal{C}$-polar sets. Also, since X has an absolutely continuous transition density, so does the process Y (Remark 3.2) so by [14, Theorem

4.1.2] every $\mathcal{E}$-polar ($\mathcal{C}$-polar) set is polar for the process Y (X). The following theorem gives us the characterization of $\mathcal{E}^{\text{ref}}$-polar sets. The corresponding result for the stable case was proven in [1, Theorem 2.5].

Theorem 3.7 *Let D be an open n-set in $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

(i) A set $A \subset \overline{D}$ is $\mathcal{E}^{ref}$-polar if and only if it is polar for the process X.

(ii) A set $A \subset D$ is polar for the process Y if and only if it is polar for the process X.

(iii) If $A \subset \partial D$ is polar for the process X then

$$\mathbb{P}_x(Y_{\zeta-} \in A) = 0, \quad \forall x \in D.$$

The converse of Theorem 3.7(iii) is not true, for a counterexample see [1, Remark 2.2].

Corollary 3.8 *Let D be an open n-set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and ζ lifetime of the censored process Y. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) $Y \neq Y^*$;

(ii) $\mathcal{F} \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_a^{ref}$;

(iii) ∂D is not polar for process X;

(iv) $\mathbb{P}_x\left(\lim_{t\uparrow\zeta} Y_t \in \partial D,\, \zeta < \infty\right) > 0$ for every $x \in D$;

(v) $\mathbb{P}_x\left(\lim_{t\uparrow\zeta} Y_t \in \partial D,\, \zeta < \infty\right) > 0$ for some $x \in D$.

The question of boundary behavior of the censored subordinate Brownian motion Y can be also answered in terms of the scaling coefficients δ_1, δ_2 and the Hausdorff measure of the boundary.

Theorem 3.9 *Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open n-set and ϕ is a complete Bernstein function such that* **(H1)** *and* **(H2)** *hold.*

(i) Suppose that $\delta_2 \leq \frac{n}{2}$ and that $\mathcal{H}_h(\partial D \cap K_m) < \infty$ for an increasing sequence of Borel sets K_m such that $\cup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} K_m \supset \partial D$, where $h(r) = r^{n-2\delta_2}$ if $\delta_2 < \frac{n}{2}$ and $h(r) = \max\{\log \frac{2}{r}, 0\}$ when $\delta_2 = \frac{n}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$. Then $\text{Cap}_X(\partial D) = 0$ and therefore $Y = Y^*$ and Y is conservative.

(ii) If $\mathcal{H}^d(\partial D) > 0$ for some $d > n - 2\delta_1 \geq 0$ then $\text{Cap}_X(\partial D) > 0$, Y is a proper subprocess of Y^*, Y is transient and

$$\mathbb{P}_x(Y_{\zeta-} \in \partial D, \zeta < \infty) > 0, \quad \forall x \in D.$$

If D additionally has finite Lebesgue measure, then Y approaches the boundary in finite time almost surely.

(iii) Suppose $n = 1$ and $\delta_3 \geq \frac{1}{2}$, which implies that Y^* is recurrent. If $\delta_1 > \frac{1}{2}$ then Y is transient and

$$\mathbb{P}_x(Y_{\zeta-} \in \partial D, \zeta < \infty) = 1, \quad \forall x \in D.$$

Proof. Note that there exists a constant $\tilde{c} > 1$ such that for every Borel set A in $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$\tilde{c}^{-1}\mathrm{Cap}_{X^{(1)}}(A) \leq \mathrm{Cap}_X(A) \leq \tilde{c}\mathrm{Cap}_{X^{(2)}}(A),$$

where $X^{(i)} = (X_t^{(i)})_{t\geq 0}$ is a symmetric $2\delta_i$-stable Lévy process, $i = 1, 2$. Also, recall that X is recurrent if and only if $\int_0^r \frac{\lambda^{n-1}}{\phi(\lambda^2)} d\lambda = \infty$ for some $r > 0$ and when $n = 1$ all points are non-polar for X if and only if $\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\phi(\lambda^2)} d\lambda < \infty$. These conditions are satisfied when $\delta_3 \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\delta_1 > \frac{1}{2}$ respectively. The proof now follows from [1, Theorem 2.7]. □

4 Generalized 3G theorem

In this section we prove the 3G inequality for X in bounded κ-fat open sets and its generalized version. Suppose that the Laplace exponent ϕ of the subordinator satisfies the scaling condition at infinity **(H1)** and $n \geq 2$. The 3G inequality will be essential in comparing the Green function of the censored process Y killed outside of some ball $B \subset D$ with the Green function of the killed subordinate Brownian motion X^B. Before we start with the proof of Theorem 1.1, we recall some basic potential-theoretical results for subordinate Brownian motion under condition **(H1)** and prove several auxiliary results.

Let B be a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$. Using the two-sided estimates for the transition density $p_t^B(x, y)$ of X the following lower and upper bound on the Green functions for X^B were obtained in [13, Lemma 2.7, Lemma 2.8].

Lemma 4.1 *Let $R \in (0, 1)$ and B be a bounded open set such that diam$(B) \leq R$. The Green function $G_B(x, y)$ is finite and continuous on $B \times B \setminus d$ and*

(i) there exists a constant $c_2 = c_2(R, \phi, n)$ such that for all $x, y \in B$

$$G_B(x, y) \leq c_2 \frac{\Phi(|x - y|)}{|x - y|^n},$$

(ii) for every $L > 0$ there exists a constant $c_3 = c_3(L, R, \phi, n) > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in B$ with $|x - y| \leq L(\delta_B(x) \wedge \delta_B(y))$,

$$G_B(x, y) \geq c_3 \frac{\Phi(|x - y|)}{|x - y|^n}.$$

Theorem 4.2 *Scale invariant Harnack inequality*
Let $L > 0$. There exists a constant $c_4 = c_4(L, \phi, n) > 1$ such that the following is true: If $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r \in (0,1)$ are such that $|x_1 - x_2| < Lr$, then for every non-negative function h which is harmonic with respect to X in $B(x_1, r) \cup B(x_2, r)$, we have

$$c_4^{-1} h(x_2) \le h(x_1) \le c_4 h(x_2).$$

Theorem 4.3 *Boundary Harnack principle*
Let $R \in (0,1)$. There exists a positive constant $c_5 = c_5(\phi, R, n) > 0$ such that for every $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, every open set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, every $r \in (0, R)$ and all non-negative functions h, v in $\mathbb{R}^n$ which are regular harmonic in $B \cap B(x_0, r)$ with respect to X and vanish a.e. in $B^c \cap B(x_0, r)$, we have

$$\frac{h(x)}{v(x)} \le c_5 \frac{h(y)}{v(y)}, \quad x, y \in B \cap B\left(x_0, \frac{r}{2}\right).$$

The results in this paper concern a special class of open sets called κ-fat sets.

Definition 4.4 *An open set $D \subset R^n$ is said to be* κ-fat *if there exist some $R > 0$ and $\kappa \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ such that for every $Q \in \partial D$ and $r \in (0, R)$ there exists a ball $B(A_r(Q), \kappa r) \subset D \cap \mathcal{B}(Q, r)$. The pair (R, κ) is called the characteristics of the κ-fat open set D.*

Note that the ball of radius $r > 0$ is a κ-fat open set with characteristics $\left(2r, \frac{1}{2}\right)$.

Let B be a bounded κ-fat open set with characteristics (R, κ) and $\operatorname{diam}(B) \le r$, for some $r > 0$. Fix $z_0 \in B$ such that $\kappa R < \delta_B(z_0) \le R$. By Lemma 4.1(i) and (2.1) it follows that

$$G_B(x, z_0) \le c_6 \frac{\Phi(\delta_B(z_0))}{\delta_B(z_0)^n}, \quad x \in B \setminus B\left(z_0, \delta_B(z_0)/2\right)$$

where $c_6 = 2^n c_2$ and c_2 is the constant from Lemma 4.1(i) depending only on r, ϕ and n. Instead of working directly with the Green function G_B, we define a function g_B on B by

$$g_B(x) = G_B(x, z_0) \wedge c_6 \frac{\Phi(\delta_B(z_0))}{\delta_B(z_0)^n} \tag{4.1}$$

and note that if $|x - z_0| > \frac{\delta_B(z_0)}{2}$ then $g_B(x) = G_B(x, z_0)$. Let $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{\kappa R}{24}$ and for $x, y \in B$ define $r(x, y) = \delta_B(x) \vee \delta_B(y) \vee |x - y|$ and

$$\mathcal{B}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \left\{A \in B : \delta_B(A) > \frac{\kappa}{2} r(x, y),\ |x - A| \vee |y - A| < 5r(x, y)\right\}, & \text{if } r(x, y) < \varepsilon_1 \\ \{z_0\}, & \text{if } r(x, y) \ge \varepsilon_1. \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is divided into several parts. The first theorem follows the proof of [7, Theorem 1.2] and [19, Theorem 2.4].

Theorem 4.5 *There exists a constant $c_7 = c_7(r, a, \kappa, \phi, n) > 1$ such that for every bounded κ-fat open set B with characteristics (R, κ) such that $diam(B) \le r$ and $\frac{R}{diam(B)} \ge a$ and every $x, y \in B$ and $A \in \mathcal{B}(x, y)$,*

$$c_7^{-1} \frac{g(x)g(y)\Phi(|x-y|)}{g(A)^2|x-y|^n} \le G_B(x,y) \le c_7 \frac{g(x)g(y)\Phi(|x-y|)}{g(A)^2|x-y|^n}, \tag{4.3}$$

where $g = g_B$ and $\mathcal{B}(x, y)$ are defined by (4.1) *and* (4.2) *respectively.*

Proof. Let

$$r_0 := \frac{1}{2}(|x-y| \wedge \varepsilon_1).$$

We only consider the case $\delta_B(x) \le \delta_B(y) \le \frac{\kappa r_0}{2}$, that is case (g) in [19], which implies $r(x, y) = |x - y|$. The remaining cases follow analogously.

Choose $Q_x, Q_y \in \partial B$ with $|Q_x - x| = \delta_B(x)$ and $|Q_y - y| = \delta_B(y)$ and let $x_1 = A_{\frac{\kappa r_0}{2}}(Q_x)$ and $y_1 = A_{\frac{\kappa r_0}{2}}(Q_y)$. This means that $x, x_1 \in B \cap B(Q_x, \frac{\kappa r_0}{2})$ and $y, y_1 \in B \cap B(Q_y, \frac{\kappa r_0}{2})$. Since

$$|z_0 - Q_x| \ge \delta_B(z_0) \ge \kappa R = 24\varepsilon_1 > r_0$$

and

$$|y - Q_x| \ge |x-y| - \delta_B(x) \ge \left(2 - \frac{\kappa}{2}\right) r_0 > r_0$$

functions $G_B(\cdot, y)$ and $G_B(\cdot, z_0)$ are regular harmonic in $B \cap B(Q_x, \kappa r_0)$ and vanish outside B. Recall from (4.1) that

$$\delta_B(z) < \frac{\delta_B(z_0)}{2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad g(z) = G_B(z, z_0). \tag{4.4}$$

From the boundary Harnack principle, Theorem 4.3 it follows that

$$c_5^{-1} \frac{G_B(x_1, y)}{g(x_1)} \le \frac{G_B(x, y)}{g(x)} \le c_5 \frac{G_B(x_1, y)}{g(x_1)}.$$

On the other hand, since $|z_0 - Q_y| > r_0$ and

$$|x_1 - Q_y| \ge |x - Q_y| - |x_1 - Q_x| - \delta_B(x) \ge \left(2 - \frac{\kappa}{2}\right) r_0 - \frac{\kappa r_0}{2} - \frac{\kappa r_0}{2} > r_0,$$

functions $G_B(x_1, \cdot)$ and $G_B(\cdot, z_0)$ are regular harmonic on $B \cap B(Q_y, \kappa r_0)$. Applying the boundary Harnack principle as before it follows that

$$c_5^{-1} \frac{G_B(x_1, y_1)}{g(y_1)} \le \frac{G_B(x_1, y)}{g(y)} \le c_5 \frac{G_B(x_1, y_1)}{g(y_1)}.$$

By putting the two inequalities above together, we arrive to

$$c_5^{-2} \frac{G_B(x_1, y_1)}{g(x_1)g(y_1)} \le \frac{G_B(x, y)}{g(x)g(y)} \le c_5^2 \frac{G_B(x_1, y_1)}{g(x_1)g(y_1)}.$$

Since $\delta_B(x_1) \wedge \delta_B(y_1) \geq \frac{\kappa^2 r_0}{2}$, $\varepsilon_1|x-y| \leq 2r_0\text{diam}(B)$ and

$$|x_1 - y_1| \leq |x_1 - x| + |x - y| + |y - y_1| < \kappa r_0 + |x - y| + \kappa r_0 \leq (1+\kappa)|x - y| \tag{4.5}$$

it follows that

$$|x_1 - y_1| \leq \frac{96(1+\kappa)}{\kappa^3 a}(\delta_B(x_1) \wedge \delta_B(y_1))$$

and we can apply Lemma 4.1 on $G_B(x_1, y_1)$. Therefore, there exist positive constants c_2 and c_3 depending only on r, κ, a, ϕ and n such that

$$\frac{c_3 c_5^{-2}}{g(x_1)g(y_1)|x_1 - y_1|^n \phi(|x_1 - y_1|^{-2})} \leq \frac{G_B(x,y)}{g(x)g(y)} \leq \frac{c_2 c_5^2}{g(x_1)g(y_1)|x_1 - y_1|^n \phi(|x_1 - y_1|^{-2})}.$$

Applying (2.1), (4.5) and

$$|x_1 - y_1| \geq |x - y| - |x_1 - x| - |y_1 - y| \geq |x - y| - 2\kappa r_0 \geq (1 - \kappa)\,|x - y|$$

the previous inequality transforms to

$$\frac{c_3 c_5^{-2}(1+\kappa)^{-n}(1-\kappa)^2}{g(x_1)g(y_1)|x - y|^n \phi(|x - y|^{-2})} \leq \frac{G_B(x,y)}{g(x)g(y)} \leq \frac{c_2 c_5^2(1-\kappa)^{-n}(1+\kappa)^2}{g(x_1)g(y_1)|x - y|^n \phi(|x - y|^{-2})}.$$

Lastly, we have to show that for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(x, y)$

$$g(A)^2 \asymp g(x_1)g(y_1). \tag{4.6}$$

Consider two cases, $r_0 < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}$ and $r_0 = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}$. If $r_0 < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}$ then

$$r(x,y) = |x - y| < \varepsilon_1,\ r_0 = \frac{1}{2}r(x,y) \text{ and } \delta_B(x_1) \wedge \delta_B(y_1) \geq \frac{\kappa^2 r_0}{2} = \frac{\kappa^2 r(x,y)}{4}.$$

Since $G_B(\cdot, z_0)$ is harmonic on $B(x_1, \delta_B(x_1)) \cup B(A, \delta_B(A))$ and

$$|x_1 - A| \leq |x_1 - x| + |x - A| \leq \kappa r_0 + 5r(x,y) \leq \frac{4}{\kappa^2}\left(\frac{\kappa}{2} + 5\right)(\delta_B(x_1) \wedge \delta_B(A))$$

by (4.4) and the scale invariant Harnack inequality, Theorem 4.2,

$$c_4^{-1} g(x_1) \leq G_B(A, z_0) \leq c_4 g(x_1)$$

and therefore

$$c_4^{-1} g(x_1) \leq g(A) \leq c_4 g(x_1).$$

The analogous inequality follows for y_1 in place of x_1 and therefore

$$c_4^{-2} g(x_1)g(y_1) \leq g^2(A) \leq c_4^2 g(x_1)g(y_1).$$

On the other hand, if $r_0 = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{2}$ then $r(x,y) = |x-y| \geq \varepsilon_1$, so by (4.2) and (4.1) it follows that

$$g(A) = g(z_0) = c_6 \frac{\Phi(\delta_B(z_0))}{\delta_B(z_0)^n}. \tag{4.7}$$

Let $v \in \{x_1, y_1\}$ and $z \in B$ such that $|z - z_0| = \frac{\delta_B(z_0)}{2} = \delta_B(z)$. Since $\delta_B(v) \geq \frac{\kappa^2 r_0}{2} = \frac{\kappa^2 \varepsilon_1}{4}$ it follows that

$$|v - z| \leq \operatorname{diam}(B) \leq \frac{96}{\kappa^3 a}(\delta_B(v) \wedge \delta_B(z))$$

and by applying Theorem 4.2 we get

$$c_4^{-1} G_B(z, z_0) \leq g(v) \leq c_4^{-1} G_B(z, z_0).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 4.1 and (2.1)

$$\tilde{c}^{-1} \frac{\Phi(\delta_B(z_0))}{\delta_B(z_0)^n} \leq g(v) \leq \tilde{c} \frac{\Phi(\delta_B(z_0))}{\delta_B(z_0)^n}$$

for some $\tilde{c} = \tilde{c}(r, a, \kappa, \phi, n) > 1$, which together with (4.7) implies (4.6). □

We will also need the following result, which is an analogue of [8, Lemma 3.5].

Lemma 4.6 Carleson's estimate
Let $r > 0$ and $\kappa \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. There exists a constant $c_8 = c_8(r, \kappa, \phi, n) > 0$ such that for every bounded open κ-fat set B with characteristics (R, κ) and diam$(B) \leq r$, $z \in \partial B$, $r_0 \in (0, \frac{\kappa R}{4})$ and $y \in B \setminus \overline{B(z, 3r_0)}$

$$G_B(x, y) \leq c_8 G_B(A_{r_0}(z), y), \quad x \in B \cap B(z, r_0).$$

Proof. Let $y \in B \setminus \overline{B(z, 3r_0)}$ and $x \in B \cap B(z, r_0)$. Note that the functions $G_B(\cdot, y)$ and $G_B(\cdot, A_{4r_0/\kappa}(z))$ are regular harmonic in $B \cap B(z, 3r_0)$ and $B \setminus \overline{B(A_{4r_0/\kappa}(z), 2r_0)}$ respectively. Since

$$\left|A_{4r_0/\kappa}(z) - x\right| \geq \delta_B\left(A_{4r_0/\kappa}(z)\right) - \delta_B(x) \geq 4r_0 - r_0 = 3r_0 \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$\left|A_{4r_0/\kappa}(z) - A_{r_0}(z)\right| \geq \delta_B\left(A_{4r_0/\kappa}(z)\right) - \delta_B\left(A_{r_0}(z)\right) \geq 4r_0 - \kappa r_0 > 3r_0, \tag{4.9}$$

by the boundary Harnack principle, Theorem 4.3, it follows that

$$\frac{G_B(x, y)}{G_B(A_{r_0}(z), y)} \leq c_5 \frac{G_B(x, A_{4r_0/\kappa}(z))}{G_B(A_{r_0}(z), A_{4r_0/\kappa}(z))}.$$

It is enough to show that

$$\frac{G_B(x, A_{4r_0/\kappa}(z))}{G_B(A_{r_0}(z), A_{4r_0/\kappa}(z))} \leq \tilde{c} \tag{4.10}$$

for some $\tilde{c} = \tilde{c}(r, \kappa, \phi, n) > 0$. By Lemma 4.1(i)

$$G_B(x, A_{4r_0/\kappa}(z)) \le c_2 \frac{\Phi(|x - A_{4r_0/\kappa}(z)|)}{|x - A_{4r_0/\kappa}(z)|^n},$$

and from (2.1), (4.8) and

$$|x - A_{4r_0/\kappa}(z)| \le |x - z| + |z - A_{4r_0/\kappa}(z)| \le 5r_0$$

it follows that

$$\frac{\Phi(|x - A_{4r_0/\kappa}(z)|)}{|x - A_{4r_0/\kappa}(z)|^n} \le 5^2 3^{-n} \frac{\Phi(r_0)}{r_0^n}. \tag{4.11}$$

On the other hand, since

$$|A_{r_0}(z) - A_{4r_0/\kappa}(z)| \le 8r_0/\kappa \le \frac{8}{\kappa^2}\delta_B(A_{r_0}(z)) = \frac{8}{\kappa^2}\left(\delta_B(A_{r_0}(z)) \wedge \delta_B(A_{4r_0/\kappa}(z))\right)$$

by Lemma 4.1(ii), (4.9) and (2.1) it follows that

$$G_B(A_{r_0}(z), A_{4r_0/\kappa}(z)) \ge c_3 \frac{\Phi(|A_{r_0}(z) - A_{4r_0/\kappa}(z)|)}{|A_{r_0}(z) - A_{4r_0/\kappa}(z)|^n} \ge c_3 \left(\frac{\kappa}{4}\right)^n \frac{\Phi(r_0)}{r_0^n},$$

which together with (4.11) implies (4.10). □

Applying the Carleson's estimate, the Harnack inequality and Lemma 4.1 the proofs of the following lemmas follow entirely as in [20, Lemma 3.8-3.11]. Let B be a bounded κ-fat open set with $\mathrm{diam}(B) \le r$ and characteristics (R, κ) such that $\frac{R}{\mathrm{diam}(B)} \ge a$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.5, let $Q_x \in \partial B$ be such that $|x - Q_x| = \delta_B(x)$, $x \in B$.

Lemma 4.7 *There exists a constant $c_9 = c_9(r, a, \kappa, \phi, n) > 0$ such that for every $x, y \in B$ with $r(x, y) < \varepsilon_1$,*

$$g(z) < c_9 g(A_{r(x,y)}(Q_x)), \quad z \in B \cap B(Q_x, r(x, y)). \tag{4.12}$$

Lemma 4.8 *There exists a constant $c_{10} = c_{10}(r, a, \kappa, \phi, n) > 0$ such that for every $x, y \in B$*

$$g(x) \vee g(y) < c_{10} g(A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(x, y). \tag{4.13}$$

Lemma 4.9 *If $x, y, z \in B$ satisfy $r(x, z) \le r(x, y)$, then there exists a constant $c_{11} = c_{11}(r, a, \kappa, \phi, n) > 0$ such that*

$$g(A_{x,y}) < c_{11} g(A_{y,z}), \quad \text{for every } (A_{x,y}, A_{y,z}) \in \mathcal{B}(x, y) \times \mathcal{B}(y, z). \tag{4.14}$$

Lemma 4.10 *There exists a constant $c_{12} = c_{12}(r, R, \kappa, \phi, n) > 1$ such that for every $x, y, z, w \in B$ and $(A_{x,y}, A_{y,z}, A_{x,z}) \in \mathcal{B}(x, y) \times \mathcal{B}(y, z) \times B(x, z)$,*

$$g(A_{x,z})^2 < c_{12}\left(g(A_{x,y})^2 + g(A_{y,z})^2\right) \tag{4.15}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Applying Theorem 4.5 we get

$$\frac{G_B(x,y)G_B(y,z)}{G_B(x,z)} \le c_7^3 \frac{g(y)^2 g(A_{xz})^2}{g(A_{xy})^2 g(A_{yz})^2} \frac{\Phi(|x-y|)\Phi(|y-z|)}{\Phi(|x-z|)} \frac{|x-z|^n}{|x-y|^n |y-z|^n}.$$

By (4.15) and (4.13),

$$\frac{g(y)^2 g(A_{xz})^2}{g(A_{xy})^2 g(A_{yz})^2} \le c_{12} \left(\frac{g(y)^2}{g(A_{xy})^2} + \frac{g(y)^2}{g(A_{yz})^2} \right) \le 2c_{12}c_{10}^2,$$

which proves the 3G inequality (1.1) with $c_1 = 2c_7^3 c_{12} c_{10}^2$ depending only on r, a, κ ϕ and n. □

Next we show the generalized 3G inequality.

Lemma 4.11 *There exist positive constants $c_{13} = c_{13}(n, R, \kappa, \phi)$ and $\beta = \beta(n, R, \kappa, \phi) < 2\delta_2$ such that for every bounded κ-fat open set D, $Q \in \partial D$, $r \in (0, R)$ and non-negative function u which is harmonic with respect to X in $D \cap B(Q, r)$ we have*

$$u(A_r(Q)) \le c_{13} \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\beta} u(A_s(Q)), \quad s \in (0, r).$$

Proof. Here we follow the proof of [21, Lemma 5.2]. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $r \in (0, R)$ let

$$\eta_k := \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^k r, \ A_k := A_{\eta_k}(Q) \text{ and } B_k := B(A_k, \eta_{k+1}).$$

Since $B(A_k, 2\eta_{k+1}) \subset D$ it follows that $B(Q, \eta_{k+1}) \cap B_k = \emptyset$ so the balls B_k are disjoint. By the harmonicity of u we have

$$u(A_k) \ge \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{E}_{A_k} \left[u(X_{\tau_{B_k}}) : X_{\tau_{B_k}} \in B_i \right] = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \int_{B_i} u(y) K_{B_k}(A_k, y) dy$$

and by the Harnack inequality, Theorem 4.2, it follows that

$$u(A_k) \ge c_4^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} u(A_i) \int_{B_i} K_{B_k}(A_k, y) dy. \tag{4.16}$$

By [13, Lemma 2.9] there exists a constant $\tilde{c} > 0$ such that for $i \in \{0, ..., k-1\}$ and $y \in B_i$

$$K_{B_k}(A_k, y) \ge \tilde{c} \frac{j(|y - A_k|)}{\phi(\eta_{k+1}^{-2})} \ge \tilde{c} \frac{j(\eta_i)}{\phi(\eta_{k+1}^{-2})}$$

which together with (4.16) implies that

$$u(A_k) \ge c_4^{-1} \tilde{c} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} u(A_i) \frac{j(\eta_i)}{\frac{4}{\kappa^2}\phi(\eta_k^{-2})} \int_{B_i} dy = \tilde{c}_1 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} u(A_i) \frac{\phi(\eta_i^{-2})}{\phi(\eta_k^{-2})},$$

for some $\tilde{c}_1 > 0$. Iterating the inequality

$$u(A_k)\phi(\eta_k^{-2}) \geq \tilde{c}_1 \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} u(A_i)\phi(\eta_i^{-2})$$

we get that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$u(A_k)\phi(\eta_k^{-2}) \geq \tilde{c}_1(1+\tilde{c}_1)^{k-1} u(A_0)\phi(\eta_0^{-2}).$$

This inequality together with **(H)** implies that

$$\begin{aligned} u(A_r(Q)) &\leq \frac{1}{\tilde{c}_1(1+\tilde{c}_1)^{k-1}} \frac{\phi(\eta_k^{-2})}{\phi(r^{-2})} u(A_k) \leq \frac{a_2}{\tilde{c}_1(1+\tilde{c}_1)^{k-1}} \left(\frac{2}{\kappa}\right)^{2k\delta_2} u(A_k) \\ &= \frac{a_2(1+\tilde{c}_1)}{\tilde{c}_1} \left(\frac{2}{\kappa}\right)^{k\beta} u(A_k), \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

where $\beta = 2\delta_2 - \frac{\log(1+\tilde{c}_2)}{\log\left(\frac{2}{\kappa}\right)}$. Let $0 < s < r$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\eta_k \leq s < \eta_{k-1}$. Since $B(A_s(Q), \kappa\eta_k) \cup B(A_k, \kappa\eta_k) \subset B$ and $|A_k - A_s(Q)| \leq 2\eta_{k-1} = \frac{4}{\kappa}\eta_k$, by Theorem 4.2 the inequality (4.17) transforms to

$$u(A_r(Q)) \leq \frac{c_4 a_2(1+\tilde{c}_1)}{\tilde{c}_1} \left(\frac{2}{\kappa}\right)^{\beta} \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\beta} u(A_s(Q)) = \tilde{c}_2 \left(\frac{r}{s}\right)^{\beta} u(A_s(Q)),$$

where $\tilde{c}_2 > 0$ depends only on n, ϕ, κ and R. □

We will use the following remark several times in the proofs of the following lemmas.

Remark 4.12 *Let $x, y \in B$ such that $r(x,y) < \varepsilon_1$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.5 let $Q_x, Q_y \in \partial B$ be such that $|x - Q_x| = \delta_B(x)$ and $|y - Q_y| = \delta_B(y)$. Note that $A_{r(x,y)}(Q_x), A_{r(x,y)}(Q_y) \in \mathcal{B}(x,y)$, since for $A := A_{r(x,y)}(Q_x)$ it follows that $\delta_B(A) \geq \kappa r(x,y)$, $|x - A| \leq \delta_D(x) + |Q_x - A| \leq 2r(x,y)$ and $|y - A| \leq |y - x| + |x - A| \leq 3r(x,y)$. By Theorem 4.2, $g(A_1) \asymp g(A_2)$ for all $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{B}(x,y)$ and therefore it follows that*

$$g(A_{x,y}) \asymp g(A_{r(x,y)}(Q_x)) \asymp g(A_{r(x,y)}(Q_y)),$$

for all $A_{x,y} \in \mathcal{B}(x,y)$.

Lemma 4.13 *There exists a constant $c_{14} = c_{14}(r, n, \kappa, R, \phi) > 0$ such that for every κ-fat open set B with characteristics (κ, R) and $\operatorname{diam}(B) \leq r$ and every $x, y \in B$ with $r(x,y) < \varepsilon_1$*

$$g(A_{x,y}) \geq c_{14} r(x,y)^{\beta},$$

for all $A_{x,y} \in \mathcal{B}(x,y)$.

Proof. By Remark 4.12 it is enough to prove the inequality for $A = A_{r(x,y)}(Q_x)$. Since $\delta_B(z_0) > \kappa R = 24\varepsilon_1$, function g is harmonic in $B \cap B(Q_x, \varepsilon_1)$ so by Lemma 4.11 it follows that

$$g(A) \geq c_{13}^{-1} \left(\frac{r(x,y)}{\varepsilon_1} \right)^{\beta} g(A_{\varepsilon_1}(Q_x)). \tag{4.18}$$

Also, since

$$\frac{\delta_B(z_0)}{2} \leq |A_{\varepsilon_1}(Q_x) - z_0| \leq \frac{2r}{24\varepsilon_1} \delta_B(z_0)$$

by Lemma 4.1 it follows that

$$g(A_{\varepsilon_1}(Q_x)) \geq c_3 \frac{\Phi(|A_{\varepsilon_1}(Q_x) - z_0|)}{|A_{\varepsilon_1}(Q_x) - z_0|^n} \geq c_3 \frac{\Phi\left(\frac{\kappa R}{2}\right)}{(2r)^n} > 0.$$

This inequality together with (4.18) completes the proof. □

Lemma 4.14 *There exists a constant $c_{15} = c_{15}(r, \kappa, R, n, \phi) > 0$ such that for every kapa-fat open set B with characteristics (R, κ) and all $x, y, z \in B$ and $(A_{x,y}, A_{y,z}) \in \mathcal{B}(x,y) \times \mathcal{B}(y,z)$*

$$\frac{g(A_{y,z})}{g(A_{x,y})} \leq c_{15} \left[\left(\frac{r(y,z)}{r(x,y)} \right)^{\beta} \vee 1 \right].$$

Proof. We consider four separate cases. For $r(x,y) \geq \varepsilon_1$ the statement of the lemma follows directly from (4.2), since

$$g(A_{y,z}) \leq g(z_0) = g(A_{x,y}).$$

If $r(x,y) < \varepsilon_1 \leq r(y,z)$ then by Remark 4.12 and Lemma 4.13

$$\frac{g(A_{y,z})}{g(A_{x,y})} \leq \tilde{c}_1 \frac{g(z_0)}{g(A_{r(x,y)}(Q_x))} \leq \tilde{c}_2 \frac{g(z_0)}{r(x,y)^{\beta}} \leq \frac{\tilde{c}_2}{\varepsilon_1^{\beta}} \left(\frac{r(y,z)}{r(x,y)} \right)^{\beta}.$$

When $r(y,z) \leq r(x,y) < \varepsilon_1$ note that $A_{r(y,z)(Q_y)} \in B \cap B(Q_y, r(x,y))$ so by Lemma 4.6 we get

$$g(A_{r(y,z)(Q_y)}) \leq \tilde{c}_3 g(A_{r(x,y)(Q_y)}).$$

The desired inequality follows now from Remark 4.12. Finally, the case $r(x,y) \leq r(y,z) < \varepsilon_1$ follows directly from Lemma 4.11 and Remark 4.12. □

Next we prove the generalizes 3G inequality. Let

$$H(x,y,z,w) = \frac{\Phi(|x-y|)\Phi(|z-w|)}{\Phi(|x-w|)} \frac{|x-w|^n}{|x-y|^n |z-w|^n}.$$

Theorem 4.15 *(Generalized 3G theorem)*
Let $r > 0$, $R > 0$ and $\kappa \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. There exist constants $\beta = \beta(R, \kappa, n, \phi) \le 2\delta_2$ and $c_{16} = c_{16}(r, n, R, \kappa, \phi) > 0$ such that

$$\frac{G_B(x,y)G_B(z,w)}{G_B(x,w)} \le c_{16} \left(\frac{|x-w| \wedge |y-z|}{|x-y|} \vee 1 \right)^{\beta} \left(\frac{|x-w| \wedge |y-z|}{|z-w|} \vee 1 \right)^{\beta} H(x,y,z,w)$$

for every bounded κ-fat open set B with characteristics (R, κ) and $diam(B) \le r$.

Proof. Let $H_B(x,y,z,w) := \frac{G_B(x,y)G_B(z,w)}{G_B(x,w)}$. We consider three cases separately.

If $|x-w| < \delta_B(x) \wedge \delta_B(w)$ it follows from Lemma 4.1 that

$$H_B(x,y,z,w) \le c_2^2 c_3^{-1} H(x,y,z,w).$$

Next assume that $|y-z| < \delta_B(y) \wedge \delta_B(z)$ and note that

$$H_B(x,y,z,w) = \frac{G_B(x,y)G_B(y,z)}{G_B(x,z)} \frac{G_B(x,z)G_B(z,w)}{G_B(x,w)} \frac{1}{G_B(y,z)}.$$

Applying Theorem 1.1 twice and Lemma 4.1(ii) we get

$$H_B(x,y,z,w) \le c_1 c_3^{-1} c_6^2 H(x,y,z,w).$$

Finally, let $|x-w| \ge \delta_B(x) \wedge \delta_B(w)$ and $|y-z| \ge \delta_B(y) \wedge \delta_B(z)$. By Theorem 4.5 it follows that

$$H_B(x,y,z,w) \le c_7^3 c_1^3 \frac{g(y)g(z)g(A_{x,w})^2}{g(A_{x,y})^2 g(A_{z,w})^2} H(x,y,z,w),$$

which by Lemma 4.14 and (4.13) implies that

$$H_B(x,y,z,w) \le c_7^3 c_1^3 c_{12}^2 c^2 \left(\frac{r(x,w)}{r(x,y)} \vee 1 \right)^{\beta} \left(\frac{r(x,w)}{r(z,w)} \vee 1 \right)^{\beta} H(x,y,z,w). \tag{4.19}$$

On the other hand, by applying (4.15) twice we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g(y)g(z)g(A_{x,w})^2}{g(A_{x,y})^2 g(A_{z,w})^2} &\le c_{12}^2 \left(\frac{g(y)g(z)}{g(A_{z,w})^2} + \frac{g(y)g(z)g(A_{y,z})^2}{g(A_{x,y})^2 g(A_{z,w})^2} + \frac{g(y)g(z)}{g(A_{x,y})^2} \right) \\ &= c_{12}^2 \left(\frac{g(y)}{g(A_{y,z})} \frac{g(A_{y,z})}{g(A_{z,w})} \frac{g(z)}{g(A_{z,w})} + \frac{g(y)g(z)g(A_{y,z})^2}{g(A_{x,y})^2 g(A_{z,w})^2} + \frac{g(y)}{g(A_{x,y})} \frac{g(A_{y,z})}{g(A_{x,y})} \frac{g(z)}{g(A_{y,z})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

As before, by Lemma 4.14 and (4.13) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g(y)g(z)g(A_{x,w})^2}{g(A_{x,y})^2 g(A_{z,w})^2} &\le c_{12}^2 c^2 \left[\left(\frac{r(y,z)}{r(z,w)} \vee 1 \right)^{\beta} + \left(\frac{r(y,z)}{r(z,w)} \vee 1 \right)^{\beta} \left(\frac{r(y,z)}{r(x,y)} \vee 1 \right)^{\beta} + \left(\frac{r(y,z)}{r(x,y)} \vee 1 \right)^{\beta} \right] \\ &\le 3 c_{12}^2 c^2 \left(\frac{r(y,z)}{r(x,y)} \vee 1 \right)^{\beta} \left(\frac{r(y,z)}{r(z,w)} \vee 1 \right)^{\beta}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Since

$$|x-w| \le r(x,w) \le \delta_B(x) \wedge \delta_B(w) + |x-w| \le 2|x-w|$$

and analogously $r(y,z) \asymp |y-z|$, the conclusion of the theorem now follows from (4.19) and (4.20). □

5 Harnack inequality for censored subordinate Brownian motion

Let D be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \ge 2$ and Y the censored subordinate Brownian motion on D. Recall that by Theorem 3.1 Y can be obtained through the Feynman-Kac transform by resurrecting X^D at the rate κ_D. Let B be a bounded Borel set in D and p_t^B the transition density of X^B. From [22, Lemma 3.5] it follows that the Green function G_B^Y for Y^B is equal to

$$G_B^Y(x,y) = u(x,y)G_B(x,y),\ x,y \in B, \tag{5.1}$$

where G_B is the Green function for X^B and

$$u(x,y) = \mathbb{E}_x^y\left[e^{A(\tau_B)}\right] = \mathbb{E}_x^y\left[e^{\int_0^{\tau_B}\kappa_D(X_s)ds}\right]$$

is called the conditional gauge function. Here we denote by $\mathbb{P}_x^y$ and $\mathbb{E}_x^y$ the probability and expectation for the $G_B(x,y)$-conditioned process starting from $x \in B$ respectively, i.e. the process with transition density

$$p_t^y(x,z) = \frac{G_B(x,z)}{G_B(x,y)}p_t^B(x,z), \quad t>0,\ x,z \in B.$$

In order to obtain two-sided estimates for the Green function G_B^Y when B is a ball in D it is sufficient to show that the conditional gauge function u is bounded, i.e. that the Green functions G_B and G_B^Y are comparable. By applying the 3G inequality from the previous section, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1 *There is a constant $r_1 = r_1(n,\phi) \in (0,\frac{1}{3})$, independent of D, such that for every $r \in (0,1)$ and every ball $B = B(x,r_1r) \subset B(x,r) \subset D$,*

$$\int_B \frac{G_B(v,y)G_B(y,w)}{G_B(v,w)}\kappa_D(y)dy \le \frac{1}{2}, \quad \forall v,w \in B.$$

Proof. Let $r_1 \le \frac{1}{3}$ and $r \in (0,1)$. Since $rr_1 < \frac{1}{3}$ by Theorem 1.1

$$\frac{G_B(v,y)G_B(y,w)}{G_B(v,w)} \le c_6\frac{\phi(|v-w|^{-2})}{\phi(|v-y|^{-2})\phi(|y-w|^{-2})}\frac{|v-w|^n}{|v-y|^n|y-w|^n}, \quad \forall v,y,w \in B.$$

First we will show that there exists a constant $\tilde{c} = \tilde{c}(n, \phi) > 0$ such that

$$\phi(|v-w|^{-2})|v-w|^n \le \tilde{c}\left(\phi(|v-y|^{-2})|v-y|^n + \phi(|y-w|^{-2})|y-w|^n\right).$$

From (2.1) it follows that

$$\phi(s^{-2})s^n \le \frac{r^2}{s^2}\phi(r^{-2})s^n \le \phi(r^{-2})r^n, \quad \forall s < r \le 1.$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that $|v-y| \le |y-w|$, so

$$|v-w| \le |v-y| + |y-w| \le 2|y-w|.$$

Since $|y-w| \le 2rr_1 < 1$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(|v-w|^{-2})|v-w|^n &\le 2^n \phi\left(\left(\frac{|v-w|}{2}\right)^{-2}\right)\left(\frac{|v-w|}{2}\right)^n \\ &\le 2^n\left(\phi(|v-y|^{-2})|v-y|^n + \phi(|y-w|^{-2})|y-w|^n\right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore for every $v, w \in B$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_B \frac{G_B(v,y)G_B(y,w)}{G_B(v,w)}dy &\le c_6 2^n \int_B \left(\frac{1}{\phi(|v-y|^{-2})|v-y|^n} + \frac{1}{\phi(|y-w|^{-2})|y-w|^n}\right)dy \\ &\le c_6 2^n \left(\int\limits_{B(v,2rr_1)} \frac{1}{\phi(|v-y|^{-2})|v-y|^n}dy + \int\limits_{B(w,2rr_1)} \frac{1}{\phi(|y-w|^{-2})|y-w|^n}dy\right) \\ &\le \tilde{c}_1 \int_0^{2rr_1} \frac{1}{\phi(s^{-2})s^n}s^{n-1}ds \overset{\textbf{(H1)}}{\le} \frac{\tilde{c}_1}{2a_1\delta_1}\phi((2r_1r)^{-2})^{-1},\end{aligned}$$

for some $\tilde{c}_1 = \tilde{c}_1(\phi, n) > 0$. Furthermore, for every $y \in B = B(x, rr_1) \subset D$ it follows that

$$r \le \delta_D(x) = \delta_D(y) + |x-y| \le \delta_D(y) + rr_1,$$

so $B(y, r(1-r_1)) \subset D$ and

$$\kappa_D(y) = \int_{D^c} j(|y-z|)dz \le \tilde{c}_2 \int_{r(1-r_1)}^{\infty} s^{n-1}j(s)ds$$

for some $\tilde{c}_2 = \tilde{c}_2(n) > 0$. By [13, Lemma 2.2] there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_3 = \tilde{c}_3(n) > 0$ such that

$$\kappa_D(y) \le \tilde{c}_3\phi(r^{-2}(1-r_1)^{-2})$$

for all $r > 0$. Finally, for r_1 small enough we have

$$\int_B \frac{G_B(v,y)G_B(y,w)}{G_B(v,w)}\kappa_D(y)dy \le \frac{\tilde{c}_1\tilde{c}_3}{2a_1\delta_1}\frac{\phi(r^{-2}(1-r_1)^{-2})}{\phi((2r_1r)^{-2})} \overset{\textbf{(H1)}}{\le} \frac{\tilde{c}_1\tilde{c}_3}{2a_1^2\delta_1}\left(\frac{2r_1}{1-r_1}\right)^{2\delta_1} \le \frac{1}{2}.$$

□

By the previous lemma it follow that for every $r < 1$, every ball $B = B(x, rr_1) \subset D$ and $v, w \in B$

$$\mathbb{E}_v^w[A(\tau_B)] = \int_B \kappa_D(y) \frac{G_B(v,y)G_B(y,w)}{G_B(v,w)} dy \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

so by Khasminskii's lemma, [17, Lemma 3.7]

$$1 \leq u(v,w) = \mathbb{E}_v^w[e^{A(\tau_B)}] \leq \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2}} = 2. \tag{5.2}$$

Let B be a ball such that $\overline{B} \subset D$. Since the subordinator of X has zero drift, by [23, Theorem 1] it follows that for all $y \in B$

$$\mathbb{P}_y(X_{\tau_B} \in \partial B) = \mathbb{P}_y\left(X_{\tau_B-} = X_{\tau_B}\right) = 0.$$

Note that by Theorem 3.1(iii) it follows that $\mathbb{P}_y\left(Y_{\tau_B-} = Y_{\tau_B}\right) = 0$, for all $y \in B \subset \overline{B} \subset D$.

Lemma 5.2 *For $\Phi \geq 0$ measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_{\tau_B-}$ and any a Borel function $f \geq 0$*

$$\mathbb{E}_x[f(X_{\tau_B})\Phi : X_{\tau_B-} \neq X_{\tau_B}] = \mathbb{E}_x[f(X_{\tau_B})\mathbb{E}_x^{X_{\tau_B-}}[\Phi] : X_{\tau_B-} \neq X_{\tau_B}], \quad x \in D. \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. Using the monotone class argument it is enough to prove (5.3) for Φ of the form $\Phi = \Phi_t 1_{\{t<\tau_B\}}$, for some $\mathcal{F}_t$-measurable non-negative function Φ_t. Let $\kappa(x) = \int_{B^c} j(|x-y|)dy$, $x \in B$ be the killing density of X^B. Since the function $y \mapsto \mathbb{E}_x^y[\Phi]$ is Borel measurable, for $A \in \mathcal{B}(D)$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}_x[\Phi : X_{\tau_B-} \in A] = \mathbb{E}_x[\Phi_t \mathbb{E}_{X_t}[1_{\{X_{\tau_B-} \in A\}}] : t < \tau_B] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_x\left[\Phi_t \int_A G_D(X_t, y)\kappa(y)dy : t < \tau_B\right] = \int_A \mathbb{E}_x[\Phi_t G_D(X_t, y)\kappa(y) : t < \tau_B]dy \\
&= \int_A \mathbb{E}_x^y[\Phi] G_D(x,y)\kappa(y)dy = \mathbb{E}_x\left[\mathbb{E}_x^{X_{\tau_B-}}[\Phi] : X_{\tau_B-} \in A\right]
\end{aligned}$$

an therefore

$$\mathbb{E}_x[\Phi | X_{\tau_B-}] = \mathbb{E}_x^{X_{\tau_B-}}[\Phi], \quad \mathbb{P}_x\text{-a.s.}$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_x[f(X_{\tau_B})\Phi : X_{\tau_B-} \neq X_{\tau_B}] &= \mathbb{E}_x[f(X_{\tau_B})\mathbb{E}_x[\Phi | X_{\tau_B-}] : X_{\tau_B-} \neq X_{\tau_B}] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_x[f(X_{\tau_B})\mathbb{E}_x^{X_{\tau_B-}}[\Phi] : X_{\tau_B-} \neq X_{\tau_B}].
\end{aligned}$$

□

From (5.1) and (5.3) we get an analogous formula for the joint distribution of $(Y_{\tau_B-}, Y_{\tau_B})$ restricted to the event $\{\tau_B^Y < \infty\}$, i.e. for all non-negative Borel measurable functions f and g on D and open Borel sets $B \subset \overline{B} \subset D$

$$\mathbb{E}_x[f(Y_{\tau_B-})g(Y_{\tau_B})] = \int_{D\setminus\overline{B}} \int_B f(y)g(z)G_B(x,y)u(x,y)j(|y-z|)dydz. \tag{5.4}$$

Using (5.2) and (5.4) we are able to prove the scale invariant Harnack inequality for harmonic functions with respect to the censored process Y.

Theorem 5.3 *For any $L > 0$, there exists a constant $c_1 = c_1(n, \phi, L) > 1$ such that the following is true: If $x_1, x_2 \in D$ and $r \in (0,1)$ are such that $B(x_1, r) \cup B(x_2, r) \subset D$ and $|x_1 - x_2| < Lr$, then for every non-negative function h which is harmonic with respect to Y on $B(x_1, r) \cup B(x_2, r)$, we have*

$$c_1^{-1}h(x_1) \le h(x_2) \le c_1 h(x_1).$$

Proof. Let $r_1 \in (0, \frac{1}{3})$ be the constant from Lemma 5.1 and $B_i = B(x_i, r_1 r)$, $i = 1, 2$. Since $\overline{B_1} \subset D$ it follows that for $y \in B_1$

$$\begin{aligned} h(y) = \mathbb{E}_y\left[h(Y_{\tau_{B_1}^Y})\right] &\overset{(5.4)}{=} \int_{D\setminus\overline{B}_1} \int_{B_1} h(w)G_{B_1}^Y(y,v)j(|v-w|)dv\,dw \\ &\overset{(5.1)}{=} \int_{D\setminus\overline{B}_1} \int_{B_1} h(w)G_{B_1}^X(y,v)u(y,v)j(|v-w|)dv\,dw \\ &= \mathbb{E}_y\left[h(X_{\tau_{B_1}})u(y, X_{\tau_{B_1}-})\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Here we implicitly assume $h = 0$ on D^c. Define

$$w(y) = \mathbb{E}_y\left[h(X_{\tau_{B_1}})\right], \quad y \in B_1,$$

and note that w is harmonic in B_1 with respect to X. From (5.2) it follows that

$$w(y) \le h(y) \le 2w(y), \quad \forall y \in B_1 \tag{5.5}$$

and analogously

$$\mathbb{E}_y\left[h(X_{\tau_{B_2}})\right] \le h(y) \le 2\mathbb{E}_y\left[h(X_{\tau_{B_2}})\right], \quad \forall y \in B_2. \tag{5.6}$$

By [13, Proposition 2.3] there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_1 = \tilde{c}_1(n, \phi) > 0$ such that for any $y \in B\left(x_1, \frac{rr_1}{2}\right)$ and almost every $z \in \overline{B(x_1, rr_1)}^c$,

$$K_{B(x_1,rr_1)}(y,z) \ge \tilde{c}_1 K_{B(x_1,rr_1)}(x_1,z).$$

This implies that for any $y \in B(x_1, \frac{rr_1}{2})$

$$w(y) = \int_{D\setminus\overline{B}_1} h(z)K_{B_1}(y,z)dz \ge \tilde{c}_1 \int_{D\setminus\overline{B}_1} h(z)K_{B_1}(x_1,z)dz = \tilde{c}_1 w(x_1) \ge \frac{\tilde{c}_1}{2}h(x_1). \tag{5.7}$$

First we consider the case when $r \le |x_1 - x_2| < Lr$. It follows that $B_2 \cap B(x_1, r_1 r/2) = \emptyset$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} h(x_2) &\overset{(5.6)}{\ge} \mathbb{E}_{x_2}\left[h(X_{\tau_{B_2}})\right] \ge \mathbb{E}_{x_2}\left[h(X_{\tau_{B_2}}); X_{\tau_{B_2}} \in B(x_1, r_1 r/2)\right] \\ &\overset{(5.5)}{\ge} \mathbb{E}_{x_2}\left[w(X_{\tau_{B_2}}); X_{\tau_{B_2}} \in B(x_1, r_1 r/2)\right] \\ &\overset{(5.7)}{\ge} \frac{\tilde{c}_1}{2} h(x_1) \mathbb{P}_{x_2}\left(X_{\tau_{B_2}} \in B(x_1, r_1 r/2)\right) \\ &= \frac{\tilde{c}_1}{2} h(x_1) \int_{B(x_1, r_1 r/2)} K_{B_2}(x_2, z) dz. \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

By [13, Lemma 2.6] there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_2 = \tilde{c}_2(\phi, n) > 0$ such that for all $z \in \overline{B}_2^c$

$$K_{B_2}(x_2, z) \ge \tilde{c}_2 \frac{j(|z - x_2|)}{\phi((r_1 r)^{-2})}. \tag{5.9}$$

Also, for $z \in B(x_1, r_1 r/2)$,

$$|z - x_2| \le r(r_1/2 + L) < r_1/2 + L$$

so by [24, Lemma 3.2] there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_3 = \tilde{c}_3(\phi, n, L) > 0$ such that

$$j(|z - x_2|) \ge j(r(r_1/2 + L)) \ge \tilde{c}_3 \frac{\phi(r^{-2}(r_1/2 + L)^{-2})}{r^n (r_1/2 + L)^n}. \tag{5.10}$$

Combining (5.8), (5.9) and (5.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} h(x_2) &\ge \frac{\tilde{c}_1 \tilde{c}_2 \tilde{c}_3}{2} \frac{|B(x_1, \frac{r r_1}{2})|}{r^n (r_1/2 + L)^n} \frac{\phi(r^{-2}(r_1/2 + L)^{-2})}{\phi((r_1 r)^{-2})} h(x_1) \\ &\overset{(2.1)}{\ge} \frac{\tilde{c}_1 \tilde{c}_2 \tilde{c}_3}{2} \frac{|B(x_1, \frac{r r_1}{2})|}{r^n (r_1/2 + L)^n} \left(1 \wedge \left(\frac{r_1}{\frac{r_1}{2} + L}\right)^2\right) h(x_1) = c_{12}(n, \phi, L) h(x_1). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $|x_1 - x_2| < r$ take $r' = |x_1 - x_2|$ and $L' = 1$. Since $r' \le |x_1 - x_2| < L' r'$ the proof follows in the same way as in the previous case. □

Remark 5.4 *If for a Lipschitz domain $B \subset \overline{B} \subset D$*

$$\inf_{y \in B} \int_{D \setminus B} j(|z - y|) dz \ge c$$

for some constant $c > 0$, then by (5.4) *it follows that*

$$1 = \int_{D \setminus \overline{B}} \int_B G_B^Y(x, y) j(|z - y|) dy\, dz \ge c \int_B G_B^Y(x, y) dy$$

and therefore

$$\mathbb{E}_x[\tau_B^Y] = \int_B G_B^Y(x, y) dy < \infty, \quad \forall x \in B. \tag{5.11}$$

Furthermore, (5.11) *holds for all $x \in D$ and implies that*

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_B^Y < \infty) = 1, \quad \text{for all } x \in D.$$

A Besov spaces of generalized smoothness

The domain $\mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{R}^n}$ of the Dirichlet form $\mathcal{C}$ is a type of a much more general class of function spaces called Besov spaces of generalized smoothness. First we introduce these spaces in their generalized form.

Definition A.1 *A sequence $(\gamma_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ of positive real numbers is called*

(i) almost increasing *if there exists $d_0 > 0$ such that*

$$d_0\gamma_j \leq \gamma_k, \quad \forall j \leq k;$$

(ii) strongly increasing *if it is almost increasing and in addition there exists a $\kappa_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$2\gamma_j \leq \gamma_k, \quad j + \kappa_0 \leq k;$$

(iii) of bounded growth *if there are positive constants d_1 and $J_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that*

$$\gamma_{j+1} \leq d_1\gamma_j, \quad \forall j \geq J_0;$$

(iv) an admissible sequence *if both $(\gamma_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ and $(\gamma_j^{-1})_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ are of bounded growth and $J_0 = 0$, i.e. there exist positive constants d_0 and d_1 such that*

$$d_0\gamma_j \leq \gamma_{j+1} \leq d_1\gamma_j, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Definition A.2 *Let $N = (N_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ be a strongly increasing sequence. Define*

$$\Omega_0^N = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| \leq N_0\}$$
$$\Omega_j^N = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : N_{j-1} \leq |x| \leq N_{j+1}\}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let Φ^N be a collection of all partitions of unity of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ functions associated with this decomposition.

Definition A.3 *Let $N = (N_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ and $\sigma = (\sigma_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ be a strongly increasing and admissible sequence respectively and $(\varphi_j^N)_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} \in \Phi^N$. The Besov space of generalized smoothness associated with N and σ is*

$$B_2^{\sigma,N} = \{g \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n) : ||g||_{B,\sigma,N} := ||(\sigma_j\varphi_j^N(D)g)_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}|l_2(L_2(\mathbb{R}^n))|| < \infty\},$$

where $\varphi(D)g(x) = (\varphi(\cdot)\hat{g})^\vee(x)$ and $||(f_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}|l^2(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))|| = \left(\sum_{j=0}^\infty ||f_j||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

By [9, Remark 10.1.2.] the space $B_2^{\sigma,N}$ is independent of the choice of system $(\varphi_j^N)_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ in the sense of equivalent norms. We will restrict ourselves to a special subclass of spaces $B_2^{\sigma,N}$ associated to an admissible symbol.

Definition A.4 *A non-negative function $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is an* admissible symbol *if*

(i) $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} a(x) = \infty$,

(ii) *a is almost increasing in $|x|$, i.e. there exist constants $\delta_0 \geq 1$ and $R > 0$ such that $a(x) \leq \delta_0 a(y)$ if $R \leq |x| \leq |y|$,*

(iii) *there exists an $m > 0$ such that $x \to \frac{a(x)}{|x|^m}$ is almost decreasing in $|x|$,*

(iv) *for every multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ there exist constants $c_\alpha > 0$ and $R > 0$ such that*

$$|D^\alpha a(x)| \leq c_\alpha \frac{a(x)}{(1+|x|^2)^{|\alpha|/2}}, \quad \forall |x| \geq R.$$

The family of all admissible functions will be denoted by $\mathcal{A}$.

Lemma A.5 *[10, Lemma 3.1.17, Remark 3.1.18]*
For a function $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $r > 0$ the sequence $(N_j^{a,r})_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$,

$$N_j^{a,r} = \sup\{|x| : a(x) \leq 2^{jr}\}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

is strongly increasing.

For $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we define the Besov space of generalized smoothness associated with a as $H^{a,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) := B_2^{\sigma,N^{a,2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\sigma = \{2^j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is an admissible sequence. These spaces have two useful representations in the sense of equivalent norms; one given by the Littlewood-Paley-type theorem and the other by means of differences.

Proposition A.6 *[10, Theorem 3.1.20, Corollary 3.1.21]*
Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $N = N^{a,2}$ the strongly increasing sequence associated with a, $\alpha > 0$ and $\sigma^\alpha = \{2^{\alpha j}\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ an admissible sequence. Then the norm $||\cdot||_{a,\alpha}$,

$$||u||_{a,\alpha} := ||(id + a(D))^{\alpha/2} u||_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + a(\xi))^\alpha |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{A.1}$$

is equivalent to $||\cdot||_{B,\sigma^\alpha,N^{a,2}}$ on $H^{a,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) = B_2^{\sigma^\alpha,N^{a,2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition A.7 *For a function f on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the k-th* difference *of the function f as*

$$(\Delta_h^k f)(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} (-1)^{k-j} f(x + jh) = \Delta_h^1(\Delta_h^{k-1} f)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The k-th modulus of continuity *of a function* $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *is defined as*

$$\omega_k(f,t) = \sup_{|h|<t} ||\Delta_h^k f||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad t > 0.$$

Also, for an admissible sequence $(\gamma_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ *let*

$$\overline{\gamma}_j = \sup_{k\geq 0} \frac{\gamma_{j+k}}{\gamma_k} \text{ and } \underline{\gamma}_j = \inf_{k\geq 0} \frac{\gamma_{j+k}}{\gamma_k}$$

we define the lower and upper Boyd index respectively,

$$\underline{s}(\gamma) := \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{\log \underline{\gamma}_j}{j} \text{ and } \overline{s}(\gamma) := \lim_{j\to\infty} \frac{\log \overline{\gamma}_j}{j}.$$

Since $\gamma_{j+i+k} \leq \overline{\gamma}_j \gamma_{i+k}$ for all $i,j,k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ it follows that $\overline{\gamma}_{j+i} \leq \overline{\gamma}_j \overline{\gamma}_i$, so the sequence $\log \overline{\gamma}_j$ is subadditive. By Fekete's subadditive lemma the sequence $\left(\frac{\log \overline{\gamma}_j}{j}\right)_j$ converges to $\inf_j \frac{\log \overline{\gamma}_j}{j}$, so the upper index $\overline{s}(\gamma)$ is well defined. The analogous conclusion follows for the lower index $\underline{s}(\gamma)$, since $\log \underline{\gamma}_j = -\log\left(\overline{\gamma^{-1}}_j\right)$.

Theorem A.8 *[25, Theorem 4.1]*
Let σ *and* N *be admissible sequences and* $\underline{N}_1 = \inf_{k\geq 0} \frac{N_{k+1}}{N_k} > 1$ *and* $\frac{\underline{s}(\sigma)}{\overline{s}(N)} > 0$. *Let* k *be an integer such that* $k > \frac{\overline{s}(\sigma)}{\underline{s}(N)}$. *Then the norm* $||\cdot||_{B,\sigma,N}$ *on* $B_2^{\sigma,N}$ *is equivalent to*

$$||u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sigma_j^2 \omega_k(u, N_j^{-1})^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Remark A.9 *Note that for every* $a \in \mathcal{A}$ *the sequence* $N^{a,r}$ *satisfies the assumption* $\underline{N}_1^{a,r} > 1$.

We want to generalize the trace theorem for an important subclass of continuous negative definite functions of the form $\psi(\xi) = \phi(|\xi|^2)$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $\phi \in \mathcal{CBF}$ with killing term and drift zero. For $\phi \in \mathcal{CBF}$ let

$$\tilde{\phi}(\lambda) = \phi^{\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda)\lambda^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

which is by [26, Theorem 7.13] also a complete Bernstein function. Let $a : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined as

$$a(\xi) := \phi(|\xi|^2)|\xi| = \tilde{\phi}(|\xi|^2)^2, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Lemma A.10 *Let* ϕ *be a Bernstein function such that* $\lim_{x\to\infty} \phi(x) = \infty$. *Functions* $\psi(\cdot) = \phi(|\cdot|^2)$ *and* a *are admissible symbols.*

Proof. That ψ is an admissible symbol follows from [10, Lemma 3.1.13]. Properties (i)-(iii) for the function a follow directly. Since $\tilde{\phi}(|\cdot|^2) \in \mathcal{A}$, for a multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ there exist $R > 0$ and constants $c_\beta > 0$, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ such that by the generalized Leibniz rule

$$\begin{aligned} D^\alpha a(x) &= \sum_{\{\beta:\beta\leq\alpha\}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} D^\beta \tilde{\phi}(|x|^2) D^{\alpha-\beta}\tilde{\phi}(|x|^2) \\ &\leq \sum_{\{\beta:\beta\leq\alpha\}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} c_\beta \frac{\tilde{\phi}(|x|^2)}{(1+|x|^2)^{|\beta|/2}} \cdot c_{\alpha-\beta} \frac{\tilde{\phi}(|x|^2)}{(1+|x|^2)^{|\alpha-\beta|/2}} \\ &\leq \sum_{\{\beta:\beta\leq\alpha\}} \binom{\alpha}{\beta} c_\beta c_{\alpha-\beta} \frac{a(x)}{(1+|x|^2)^{|\alpha|/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

By Proposition A.6 Besov spaces of generalized smoothness associated with ψ and a can be characterized as

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) &= \left\{ u \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \exists f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ such that } \hat{u} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\psi}} \hat{f} \right\} \\ H^{a,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) &= \left\{ u \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n) : \exists f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ such that } \hat{u} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+a}} \hat{f} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the function $x \mapsto (1+x)^{-\alpha}$ is completely monotone for every $\alpha > 0$, by [26, Theorem 3.7] functions $(1+\phi)^{-\alpha}$ and $(1+\tilde{\phi})^{-\alpha}$ are also completely monotone. By Schoenberg's theorem, [27, Theorem 2], functions $(1+\psi)^{-\alpha}$ and $(1+\sqrt{a})^{-\alpha}$ are positive definite functions and therefore Fourier transforms of integrable functions, [26, Theorem 4.14]. Let

$$\hat{K}_\psi(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\psi(\xi)}} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{K}_a(\xi) = \frac{1}{1+\sqrt{a(\xi)}}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Since $(1+a(x))^\alpha \asymp (1+\sqrt{a(x)})^{2\alpha}$ for every $\alpha > 0$, spaces $H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $H^{a,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be characterized as convolution spaces via the ψ-Bessel convolution kernel K_ψ and a-Bessel convolution kernel K_a respectively, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) &= \{K_\psi * f : f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}, \quad ||K_\psi * f||_{\psi,1} := ||f||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \\ H^{a,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) &= \{K_a * f : f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}, \quad ||K_a * f||_{a,1} := ||f||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

In the rest of the chapter we assume that the scaling conditions **(H1)** and **(H2)** on ϕ hold. We obtain a useful characterization of spaces $H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $H^{a,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ via differences, as well as estimates of the kernels K_ψ and K_a. Also, without loss of generality we can assume $\phi(1) = 1$. The following estimates for the kernel K_ψ were obtained in [12, Remark 33, Remark 34].

Lemma A.11 *Let $\alpha > 0$ and $\hat{K}_{\psi,\alpha} = (\hat{K}_\psi)^\alpha$. If $\alpha(\delta_2 \vee \delta_4) < n$ then for every $R > 0$ there exist constants $c_i = c_i(\phi, \alpha, n, R) > 0$, $i = 1, 2$, such that for all $x \in B(0, R) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $0 \le j \le n$*

$$|K_{\psi,\alpha}(x)| \le \frac{c_1}{|x|^n \phi(|x|^{-2})^{\alpha/2}},$$
$$|(K_{\psi,\alpha}(x))'_{x_j}| \le \frac{c_2}{|x|^{n+1} \phi(|x|^{-2})^{\alpha/2}}.$$

Proof. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ let $g_n(|x|) = K_{\psi,\alpha}(x)$ and $B_n(|x|) = \hat{K}_{\psi,\alpha}(x)$. The function $\hat{K}_{\psi,\alpha}$ is a positive definite radial function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ so by [28, Section B.5]

$$B_n(r) = \int_0^\infty \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-1}} J_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(\lambda r) r^{\frac{n}{2}} g_n(r) dr = \int_0^\infty Y_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(\lambda r) G_n(dr),$$

where $J_{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ and $Y_{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ are the Bessel and spherical Bessel function respectively and

$$G_n(\lambda) = \int_0^\lambda \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} r^{n-1} g_n(r) dr.$$

By [29, Lemma 1.4.11] for $y > 0$

$$\hat{G}_n(iy) = A(n) y \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{n-1}}{(u^2 + y^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} B_n(u) du$$

for some constant $A(n)$. Let $L : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$,

$$L(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\phi^{\alpha/2}(\lambda^2)}$$

and note that $B_n(\lambda) \sim L(\lambda)$ as $\lambda \to \infty$ and $B_n(\lambda) \le L(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda > 0$. We will show that this implies that $G'_n(\lambda) \sim \frac{1}{\lambda} L(\frac{1}{\lambda})$, $\lambda \to 0$. First note that for $y \ge 1$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\hat{G}_n(iy)}{L(y)} &= A(n) \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{n-1}}{(t^2+1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \frac{B_n(ty)}{L(y)} dt \le A(n) \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{n-1}}{(t^2+1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \frac{L(ty)}{L(y)} dt \\ &\le A(n)(a_2 \vee a_4)^{\alpha/2} \int_0^1 \frac{t^{n-1-\alpha(\delta_2 \vee \delta_4)}}{(t^2+1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} dt + A(n) a_1^{-\alpha/2} \int_1^\infty \frac{t^{n-1-\alpha\delta_1}}{(t^2+1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} dt = \tilde{c}_1\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{c}_1$ is a positive finite constant since $\alpha(\delta_2 \vee \delta_4) < n$. Let $\tilde{c}_2 > 0$ be such that $\tilde{c}_2 L(y) \le B_n(y)$ for all $y \ge 1$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\hat{G}_n(iy)}{L(y)} &\ge A(n) \int_1^\infty \frac{t^{n-1}}{(t^2+1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \frac{B_n(ty)}{L(y)} dt \ge A(n)\tilde{c}_2 \int_1^\infty \frac{t^{n-1}}{(t^2+1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \frac{L(ty)}{L(y)} dt \\ &\ge A(n)\tilde{c}_2 a_2^{-\alpha/2} \int_1^\infty \frac{t^{n-1-\alpha\delta_2}}{(t^2+1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} dt = \tilde{c}_3 > 0.\end{aligned}$$

By a variation of the Karamata Tauberian theorem for O-regularly varying functions, [30, Theorem 2.10.2], since for all $\lambda > 0$

$$0 < \liminf_{t\to\infty} \frac{\mathcal{L}G_n(\lambda t)}{\mathcal{L}G_n(t)} \leq \limsup_{t\to\infty} \frac{\mathcal{L}G_n(\lambda t)}{\mathcal{L}G_n(t)} < \infty$$

it follows that $\mathcal{L}(G_n')(\frac{1}{\cdot})$ and $\mathcal{L}(G_n'')(\frac{1}{\cdot})$ are also O-regularly varying functions. Furthermore,

$$G_n'(\lambda) \sim \mathcal{L}(G_n')\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \sim \frac{1}{\lambda} L\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right), \quad \lambda \to 0$$
$$G_n''(\lambda) \sim \mathcal{L}(G_n'')\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \sim \frac{1}{\lambda^2} L\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right), \quad \lambda \to 0,$$

which implies that

$$|g_n(r)| = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}} \left|\frac{G_n'(r)}{r^{n-1}}\right| \leq \tilde{c}_3 \frac{L(\frac{1}{r})}{r^n}$$

and

$$|g_n'(r)| \leq \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})n}{2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}} \left(\left|\frac{G_n''(r)}{r^{n-1}}\right| + \left|\frac{G_n'(r)}{r^n}\right|\right) \leq \tilde{c}_4 \frac{L(\frac{1}{r})}{r^{n+1}}.$$

□

Since a is a radial function we will abuse the notation by using $a(x) = a(|x|)$. By **(H1)** and **(H2)** it follows that

$$a_1 \lambda^{2\delta_1+1} \leq \frac{a(\lambda r)}{a(r)} \leq a_2 \lambda^{2\delta_2+1}, \quad \lambda \geq 1, r \geq 1, \tag{A.2}$$

and

$$a_3 \lambda^{2\delta_3+1} \leq \frac{a(\lambda r)}{a(r)} \leq a_4 \lambda^{2\delta_4+1}, \quad \lambda \geq 1, r \leq 1. \tag{A.3}$$

Remark A.12 *Lemma A.11 applied to the function $\tilde{\phi}$ gives us estimates for the Bessel kernel $K_{a,\alpha}$. For $\alpha > 0$ such that $\alpha(\delta_2 + \frac{1}{2}) < n$ and $R > 0$ it follows that*

$$|K_{a,\alpha}(x)| \leq \frac{c_1}{|x|^n a(|x|^{-1})^{\alpha/2}},$$
$$|(K_{a,\alpha}(x))'_{x_j}| \leq \frac{c_2}{|x|^{n+1} a(|x|^{-1})^{\alpha/2}},$$

for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and all $x \in B(0, R)$.

Next we will consider the characterization of spaces $H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $H^{a,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ via differences. By [31, Thm 3.10.4.] space $H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuously embedded in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and it is a Hilbert space with

the inner product $(u,v)_{\psi,1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(1+\psi(\xi))\hat{u}(\xi)\hat{v}(\xi)d\xi$. Therefore, by Parseval's identity it follows that

$$||u||_{\psi,1} \asymp ||u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(u(x+y)-u(x))^2 j(y)dydx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad u \in H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

This implies that the ψ-Bessel potential space $(H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n), ||\cdot||_{\psi,1})$ is equivalent to the Dirichlet space $(\mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{R}^n}, \sqrt{\mathcal{C}_1})$. We also introduce an equivalent norm on $H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which we will later use in the proof of the trace theorem. For $u \in H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ let

$$||u||_{(1)} = ||u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left(\iint\limits_{|x-y|<1}|u(x)-u(y)|^2\frac{\phi\left(|x-y|^{-2}\right)}{|x-y|^n}dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Lemma A.13 *Norms $||\cdot||_{\psi,1}$ and $||\cdot||_{(1)}$ on $H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are equivalent.*

Proof. By [32, Theorem 2.3.] there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_1 > 1$ such that for all $|x| < 1$

$$\tilde{c}_1^{-1}\frac{\phi(|x|^{-2})}{|x|^n} < j(|x|) < \tilde{c}_1\frac{\phi(|x|^{-2})}{|x|^n}.$$

The proof now follows directly, since

$$\iint\limits_{|x-y|\geq 1}|u(x)-u(y)|^2 j(|x-y|)dxdy \leq 4||u||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\int\limits_{|z|\geq 1} j(|z|)dz = \tilde{c}_2||u||_{(1)}.$$

□

Recall that $\sigma = (2^j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is an admissible sequence and by Lemma A.5 the sequence $N^{a,2} = (a^{-1}(2^{2j}))_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ is strongly increasing. Since a is strictly increasing when considered as a radial function and

$$a_1\left(\frac{a^{-1}(\lambda x)}{a^{-1}(x)}\right)^{2\delta_1+1} \leq \lambda = \frac{a(a^{-1}(\lambda x))}{a(a^{-1}(x))} \leq a_2\left(\frac{a^{-1}(\lambda x)}{a^{-1}(x)}\right)^{2\delta_2+1}, \quad \lambda \geq 1$$

it follows that for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\left(\frac{1}{a_2}2^{2j}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\delta_2+1}} \leq \frac{N^{a,2}_{j+k}}{N^{a,2}_k} \leq \left(\frac{1}{a_1}2^{2j}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\delta_1+1}} \tag{A.4}$$

so the sequence $N^{a,2}$ is also admissible. Furthermore,

$$\frac{\underline{s}(\sigma)}{\overline{s}(N^{a,2})} \geq \frac{\log 2}{\frac{2}{2\delta_1+1}\log 2} = \frac{2\delta_1+1}{2} > 0 \text{ and } \frac{\overline{s}(\sigma)}{\underline{s}(N^{a,2})} \leq \frac{\log 2}{\frac{2}{2\delta_2+1}\log 2} = \frac{2\delta_2+1}{2} < 2$$

so Theorem A.8 holds for $k=2$. For simpler notation, denote $N_j = N_j^{a,2}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&||u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{2j} \sup_{|H|<N_j^{-1}} ||\Delta_H^2 u||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= ||u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left(\frac{2}{3} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int\limits_{2^{-(j+1)} \le t < 2^{-j}} \frac{1}{t^3} \sup_{|H|<N_j^{-1}} ||\Delta_H^2 u||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\asymp ||u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left(\int_0^1 \frac{1}{t^3} \sup_{|H|<1/a^{-1}(t^{-2})} ||\Delta_H^2 u||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad \text{(A.5)}
\end{aligned}
$$

since by (A.4)

$$
2^{-(j+1)} \le t < 2^{-j} \Rightarrow \left(\frac{a_1}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\delta_1+2}} N_j^{-1} \le \frac{1}{a^{-1}(t^{-2})} < N_j^{-1}.
$$

By change of variable $t^{-2} = a(|h|^{-1})$ it follows that (A.5) is comparable to

$$
||u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left(\frac{1}{2} \int\limits_{|h|<1} \frac{a'(|h|^{-1})}{|h|^{n+1}} \sup_{|H|<|h|} ||\Delta_H^2 u||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} dh \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

Since $a'(t) = \phi'(t)\sqrt{t} + \frac{\phi(t)}{2\sqrt{t}}$ and $\phi'(t) \le \frac{\phi(t)}{t}$ it follows that $\frac{a(t)}{2t} \le a'(t) \le \frac{3a(t)}{2t}$, so the last line is comparable to

$$
||u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left(\int\limits_{|h|<1} \frac{a(|h|^{-1})}{|h|^n} \sup_{|H|<|h|} ||\Delta_H^2 u||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} dh \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad \text{(A.6)}
$$

which is by the generalization of [33, Theorem 2.6.1] equivalent to

$$
||u||_{(1),a} := ||u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left(\int\limits_{|h|<1} \frac{a(|h|^{-1})}{|h|^n} ||\Delta_h^2 u||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} dh \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \qquad \text{(A.7)}
$$

Before we prove this assertion we note the following Remark.

Remark A.14 *(i) By Theorem A.8 and calculation above norms of the form*

$$
||u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left(\int\limits_{|h|<1} \frac{a(|h|^{-1})}{|h|^n} \sup_{|H|<|h|} ||\Delta_H^k u||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} dh \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

are equivalent for all $k \ge 2$.

(ii) Since the function $\frac{a(|\cdot|^{-1})}{|\cdot|^n}$ *is continuous and* $||\Delta_h^2 u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq 4||u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ *the norms* $||\cdot||_{(1),a}^{h_0}$,

$$||u||_{(1),a}^{h_0} := ||u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left(\int\limits_{|h|<h_0} \frac{a(|h|^{-1})}{|h|^n} ||\Delta_h^2 u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 dh \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

are equivalent for all $h_0 > 0$.

Lemma A.15 *The norms* $||\cdot||_{a,1}$ *and* $||\cdot||_{(1),a}$ *are equivalent on* $H^{a,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. By calculation above it is enough to prove that norms in (A.6) and (A.7) are equivalent. Obviously, the norm (A.7) is dominated by (A.6). For the other inequality note that

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int\limits_{|h|<1} \frac{a(|h|^{-1})}{|h|^n} \sup_{|H|<|h|} ||\Delta_H^2 u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 dh \\ &\leq \int\limits_{|h|<1} \frac{a(|h|^{-1})}{|h|^n} \sup_{\frac{|h|}{2}<|H|<|h|} ||\Delta_H^2 u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 dh + 2 \int\limits_{|h|<\frac{1}{2}} \frac{a(2^{-1}|h|^{-1})}{2^n |h|^n} \sup_{|H|<|h|} ||\Delta_H^2 u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 dh \\ &\leq \int\limits_{|h|<1} \frac{a(|h|^{-1})}{|h|^n} \sup_{\frac{|h|}{2}<|H|<|h|} ||\Delta_H^2 u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 dh + \frac{I}{2^n}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

By [34, (3.3.8)] there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_1 > 0$ such that

$$||\Delta_H^{2k} u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq \tilde{c}_1 \left(||\Delta_{H_0}^k u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + ||\Delta_{H_1}^k u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right)$$

for every $H > 0$ and $H_0 + H_1 = H$. For $\frac{|h|}{2} \leq |H| \leq |h|$ it follow that

$$\begin{aligned} \int\limits_{\substack{\frac{|h|}{8}\leq|x|\leq\frac{|h|}{4} \\ |H-x|<|h|}} ||\Delta_H^4 u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 dx &\leq \tilde{c}_1 \int\limits_{\substack{\frac{|h|}{8}\leq|x|\leq\frac{|h|}{4} \\ |H-x|<|h|}} \left(||\Delta_x^2 u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + ||\Delta_{H-x}^2 u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \right) dx \\ &\leq 2\tilde{c}_1 \int\limits_{\frac{|h|}{8}\leq|x|\leq|h|} ||\Delta_x^2 u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

so for some $\tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_3 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\frac{|h|}{2}\leq|H|\leq|h|} ||\Delta_H^4 u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 &\leq \tilde{c}_2 |h|^{-n} \int\limits_{\frac{|h|}{8}\leq|x|\leq|h|} ||\Delta_x^2 u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 dx \\ &\leq \tilde{c}_3 \int_{\frac{1}{8}}^1 \int\limits_{B(0,1)} ||\Delta_{|h|y\omega}^2 u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 d\omega dy. \end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

By (A.8), Remark A.14(i) and (A.9) there exist constants $\tilde{c}_4, \tilde{c}_5 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \frac{2^n \tilde{c}_4}{2^n - 1} \int\limits_{|h|<1} \frac{a(|h|^{-1})}{|h|^n} \sup_{\frac{|h|}{2}<|H|<|h|} ||\Delta_H^4 u||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} dh \\ &\leq \tilde{c}_5 \int\limits_{|h|<1} \frac{a(|h|^{-1})}{|h|^n} ||\Delta_h^2 u||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} dh. \end{aligned}$$

□

B The trace theorem for $H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ on D

The main result of this section is the proof of Theorem 3.3. In the previous section we identified the space $(\mathcal{F}, \sqrt{\mathcal{C}_1})$ with the ψ-Bessel potential space $(H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n), ||\cdot||_{\psi,1})$. We will show that the space $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{ref}}, \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_1}^{\mathrm{ref}})$ is the trace space of $(\mathcal{F}, \sqrt{\mathcal{C}_1})$ on D when D is an open n-set on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{CBF}$ such that **(H1)** and **(H2)** hold.

Definition B.1 *Let μ be a positive Radon measure on $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Denote by tr_D the continuous extension from $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the pointwise trace operator. The trace of $H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ on D is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\psi,1}(D,\mu) &= \left\{u \in L^2(D,\mu) : u = tr_D f \ \mu\text{-a.e. on } D \text{ for some } f \in H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)\right\} \\ ||u||_{\psi,1,D,\mu} &= \inf\left\{||f||_{\psi,1} : f \in H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n),\ u = tr_D f \ \mu\text{-a.e. on } D\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By $H_0^{\psi,1}(D,\mu)$ we denote the closure of $C_c^\infty(D)$ under the norm $||\cdot||_{\psi,1,D,\mu}$.

Definition B.2 *Let D be a non-empty Borel subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $0 < d \leq n$. A positive Borel measure μ on D is called a d-measure if there exist positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that for all $x \in D$ and $r \in (0,1]$,*

$$c_1 r^d \leq \mu(D \cap B(x,r)) \leq c_2 r^d.$$

A non-empty Borel set D is called a d-set if there exists a d-measure μ on D.

Note that by definition all d-measures on D are equivalent to the restriction of the d-dimensional Hausdorff measure to D. For a d-set D in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with d-measure μ let

$$\begin{aligned} H(D,\mu) &= \{u \in L^2(D,\mu) : ||u||_{(1),D,\mu} < \infty\}, \\ ||u||_{(1),D,\mu} &= ||u||_{L^2(D,\mu)} + \left(\iint\limits_{|x-y|<1} |u(x)-u(y)|^2 \frac{\phi\left(|x-y|^{-2}\right)}{|x-y|^{2d-n}} \mu(dx)\mu(dy) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$H_a(D,\mu) = \{u \in L^2(D,\mu) : ||u||_{(1),a,D,\mu} < \infty\},$$

$$||u||_{(1),a,D,\mu} = ||u||_{L^2(D,\mu)} + \left(\iint\limits_{|x-y|<1} |u(x)-u(y)|^2 \frac{a\left(|x-y|^{-1}\right)}{|x-y|^{2d-n}} \mu(dx)\mu(dy) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

When μ is the Lebesgue measure λ_D on a n-set D by similar calculations as in Lemma A.13 it follows that the space $(H(D,\lambda_D), ||\cdot||_{(1),D,\lambda_D})$ is equivalent to $(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{ref}}, \sqrt{\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathrm{ref}}})$. Before we start with the proof of Theorem 3.3, we give several useful results.

Example B.3 For a rotationally symmetric α-stable Lévy process X, i.e. $\psi(\xi) = |\xi|^\alpha$, $\alpha \in (0,2)$, space $H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the fractional Sobolev space $W^{\alpha/2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By the *trace theorem* for Besov spaces, [11, Theorem V.1.1.], for any open n-set D the trace space of $W^{\alpha/2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ on D is equal to $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ref}}$.

Lemma B.4 *Let $c > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z}$. The norm $||\cdot||^{c,N}_{(2),D,\mu}$ on $H(D,\mu)$ defined by*

$$||u||^{c,N}_{(2),D,\mu} = ||u||_{L^2(D,\mu)} + \left(\sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \phi\left(2^{2j}\right) 2^{(2d-n)j} \iint\limits_{|x-y|<c2^{-j}} |u(x)-u(y)|^2 \mu(dx)\mu(dy) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{B.1}$$

is equivalent to the norm $||\cdot||_{(1),D,\mu}$. The same statement is true for the corresponding norms $||\cdot||_{(1)}$ and $||\cdot||^{c,N}_{(2),\mathbb{R}^n,\lambda}$ on $H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, as well as for $||\cdot||_{(1),a,D,\mu}$ and $||\cdot||^{c,N}_{(2),a,D,\mu}$ on $H_a(D,\mu)$,

$$||u||^{c,N}_{(2),a,D,\mu} = ||u||_{L^2(D,\mu)} + \left(\sum_{j=N}^{\infty} a\left(2^{j}\right) 2^{(2d-n)j} \iint\limits_{|x-y|<c2^{-j}} |u(x)-u(y)|^2 \mu(dx)\mu(dy) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Proof. First note that for all $c > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ by similar calculations as in Lemma A.13

$$||\cdot||_{(1),D,\mu} \asymp ||u||_{L^2(D,\mu)} + \iint\limits_{|x-y|<c2^{-N}} |u(x)-u(y)|^2 \frac{\phi\left(|x-y|^{-2}\right)}{|x-y|^{2d-n}} \mu(dx)\mu(dy).$$

Let $2d > n$. Since ϕ is nondecreasing it follows that

$$\iint\limits_{|x-y|<c2^{-N}} |u(x)-u(y)|^2 \frac{\phi\left(|x-y|^{-2}\right)}{|x-y|^{2d-n}} \mu(dx)\mu(dy) =$$

$$= \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \iint\limits_{c2^{-j-1}\le|x-y|<c2^{-j}} |u(x)-u(y)|^2 \frac{\phi\left(|x-y|^{-2}\right)}{|x-y|^{2d-n}} \mu(dx)\mu(dy)$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \phi\left(c^{-2}2^{2(j+1)}\right) c^{-(2d-n)}2^{(2d-n)(j+1)} \iint\limits_{c2^{-j-1}\leq|x-y|<c2^{-j}} |u(x)-u(y)|^2\mu(dx)\mu(dy)$$

$$\underset{(2.1)}{\leq} \left(1\vee\frac{4}{c^2}\right)\frac{2^{(2d-n)}}{c^{(2d-n)}}\sum_{j=N}^{\infty}\phi\left(2^{2j}\right)2^{(2d-n)j}\iint\limits_{|x-y|<c2^{-j}}|u(x)-u(y)|^2\mu(dx)\mu(dy).$$

Analogously,

$$\sum_{j=N}^{\infty}\phi\left(2^{2j}\right)2^{(2d-n)j}\iint\limits_{|x-y|<c2^{-j}}|u(x)-u(y)|^2\mu(dx)\mu(dy)=$$

$$=\sum_{i=N}^{\infty}\sum_{j=N}^{i}\phi\left(2^{2j}\right)2^{(2d-n)j}\iint\limits_{c2^{-i-1}\leq|x-y|<c2^{-i}}|u(x)-u(y)|^2\mu(dx)\mu(dy)$$

$$\leq\sum_{i=N}^{\infty}\phi\left(2^{2i}\right)\frac{2^{(2d-n)(i+1)}-1}{2^{2d-n}-1}\iint\limits_{c2^{-i-1}\leq|x-y|<c2^{-i}}|u(x)-u(y)|^2\mu(dx)\mu(dy)$$

$$\leq 2^{2d-n}\sum_{i=N}^{\infty}\phi\left(2^{2i}\right)2^{(2d-n)i}\iint\limits_{c2^{-i-1}\leq|x-y|<c2^{-i}}|u(x)-u(y)|^2\mu(dx)\mu(dy)$$

$$\underset{(2.1)}{\leq} 2^{2d-n}c^{2d-n}(1\vee c^2)\sum_{i=N}^{\infty}\iint\limits_{c2^{-i-1}\leq|x-y|<c2^{-i}}|u(x)-u(y)|^2\frac{\phi\left(|x-y|^{-2}\right)}{|x-y|^{2d-n}}\mu(dx)\mu(dy)$$

which concludes the proof. A similar calculation follows through for $2d \leq n$. □

Remark B.5 *(i) Note that for a n-set D in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\tilde{D} = D\times\{0\}$ is a n-set in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and that every function $u \in H(D,\lambda_D)$ can be represented as a function $\tilde{u}$ in $H_a(\tilde{D},\mu)$ such that*

$$\tilde{u}(\tilde{x}) = u(x),\ \tilde{x}=(x,0),\ x\in D \quad \textit{and} \quad ||\tilde{u}||_{(1),a,\tilde{D},\mu} = ||\tilde{u}||_{(1),D,\lambda_D},$$

where μ is the restriction of the n-dimensional Hausdorff measure in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ to $\tilde{D}$. Analogously, the space $H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be represented as $H_a(\mathbb{R}^n\times\{0\},\bar{\mu})$, where $\bar{\mu}$ is the restriction of the n-dimensional Hausdorff measure in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ to $\mathbb{R}^n\times\{0\}$.
(ii) The proof of the trace theorem consists of four parts and follows the proof of the trace theorem for Besov spaces, [11]. First we define the restriction operator R from $H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H(D,\lambda_D)$ and prove its continuity. In the same way, we prove the continuity of restriction operators $\tilde{R}$ and $\bar{R}$ from $H^{a,1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ to $H_a(\tilde{D},\mu)$ and $H_a(\mathbb{R}^n\times\{0\},\bar{\mu})$ respectively, which we later use in the definition of the extension operator E from $H(D,\lambda_D)$ to $H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here $\bar{\mu}$ is the restriction of the n-dimensional Hausdorff measure in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ to $\mathbb{R}^n\times\{0\}$. Using the approach in [11] we can directly prove the continuity of the extension operator only in the case when D is a d-set of order strictly less then the dimension of the space, that is $d<n$. This is why we first prove the continuity of the operator

$\tilde{E}$ from $H_a(\tilde{D},\mu)$ to $H^{a,1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ and later define the operator E using $\tilde{E}$ and restriction operators $\tilde{R}$ and $\bar{R}$.

Assuming the conditions from Theorem 3.3 and notation from Remark B.5 we state the *restriction theorem.*

Theorem B.6 *There exist continuous restriction operators $R : H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to H(D,\lambda_D)$, $\tilde{R} : H^{a,1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \to H_a(\tilde{D},\mu)$ and $\bar{R} : H^{a,1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \to H_a(\mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\},\bar{\mu})$.*

First we prove the following useful lemma.

Lemma B.7 *Let $d \le n$, D a d-set in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and μ the restriction of the d-dimensional Hausdorff measure on D. Let ϕ be a complete Bernstein function such that* **(H1)** *and* **(H2)** *hold and $\alpha > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{n-d}{2} < \alpha\delta_1 \le \alpha(\delta_2 \vee \delta_4) < \frac{n-d}{2} + 1. \tag{B.2}$$

Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $r \le \frac{1}{3}$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\iint_{|x-y|<r} (K_{\psi,\alpha} * f(x) - K_{\psi,\alpha} * f(y))^2 \mu(dx)\mu(dy) \le c\frac{r^{2d-n}}{\phi^\alpha(r^{-2})} ||f||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Proof. Let $\delta = \delta_2 \vee \delta_4$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iint\limits_{|x-y|<r} (K_{\psi,\alpha} * f(x) - K_{\psi,\alpha} * f(y))^2 \mu(dx)\mu(dy) \\
&\le 2 \iint\limits_{|x-y|<r} \left(\int\limits_{|y-t|<2r} (K_{\psi,\alpha}(x-t) - K_{\psi,\alpha}(y-t)) f(t) dt \right)^2 \mu(dx)\mu(dy) \\
&+ 2 \iint\limits_{|x-y|<r} \left(\int\limits_{2r\le|y-t|} (K_{\psi,\alpha}(x-t) - K_{\psi,\alpha}(y-t)) f(t) dt \right)^2 \mu(dx)\mu(dy) = 2(A+B)
\end{aligned}$$

Also, by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, for every $0 < a < 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\int (K_{\psi,\alpha}(x-t) - K_{\psi,\alpha}(y-t)) f(t) dt \right)^2 &\le \int |K_{\psi,\alpha}(x-t) - K_{\psi,\alpha}(y-t)|^{2a} f^2(t) dt \\
&\cdot \int |K_{\psi,\alpha}(x-t) - K_{\psi,\alpha}(y-t)|^{2(1-a)} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

First we estimate the integral A. Let $|x-y|<r$ and $\tilde{c}_1$ be the constant from Lemma A.11. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|y-t|<2r} |K_{\psi,\alpha}(x-t)-K_{\psi,\alpha}(y-t)|^{2(1-a)}dt\\
&\leq 2\left(1\vee 2^{2(1-a)-1}\right)\int_{|z|<3r}|K_{\psi,\alpha}(z)|^{2(1-a)}dz\\
&\leq 2\left(1\vee 2^{2(1-a)-1}\right)\tilde{c}_1^{2(1-a)}\int_{|z|<3r}\left(\frac{1}{|z|^n\phi^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(|z|^{-2})}\right)^{2(1-a)}dz\\
&\overset{\textbf{(H1)}}{\leq} \tilde{c}_2\frac{r^{-2\alpha\delta_1(1-a)}}{(\phi^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(r^{-2}))^{2(1-a)}}\int_{|z|<3r}\left(\frac{1}{|z|^{n-\alpha\delta_1}}\right)^{2(1-a)}dz=\tilde{c}_3\frac{r^n}{\left(r^n\phi^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(r^{-2})\right)^{2(1-a)}},
\end{aligned}$$

for some $\tilde{c}_2,\tilde{c}_3>0$ and a such that

$$2(1-a)(n-\alpha\delta_1)<n. \tag{B.3}$$

Analogously, if

$$2a(n-\alpha\delta_1)<d \tag{B.4}$$

then for all $t\in\mathbb{R}^n$ there exist constants $\tilde{c}_4,\tilde{c}_5,\tilde{c}_6>0$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iint\limits_{\substack{|x-y|<r\\|y-t|<2r}}|K_{\psi,\alpha}(x-t)-K_{\psi,\alpha}(y-t)|^{2a}\mu(dx)\mu(dy)\leq\tilde{c}_4r^d\int\limits_{|z|<3r}|K_{\psi,\alpha}(z)|^{2a}\mu(dz)\\
&\leq\tilde{c}_4\tilde{c}_1^{2a}r^d\int\limits_{|z|<3r}\left(\frac{1}{|z|^n\phi^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(|z|^{-2})}\right)^{2a}\mu(dz)\overset{\textbf{(H1)}}{\leq}\tilde{c}_5\frac{r^{d-2\alpha a\delta_1}}{\left(\phi^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(r^{-2})\right)^{2a}}\int\limits_{|z|<3r}\frac{1}{|z|^{2a(n-\alpha\delta_1)}}\mu(dz)\\
&=\tilde{c}_6\frac{r^{2d}}{\left(r^n\phi^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(r^{-2})\right)^{2a}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows that $A\leq\tilde{c}_3\tilde{c}_6\frac{r^{2d-n}}{\phi^{\alpha}(r^{-2})}||f||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Next, we estimate the integral B. By the mean value theorem and Lemma A.11 there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_7>0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1&:=\iint\limits_{\substack{|x-y|<r\\2r<|y-t|}}|K_{\psi,\alpha}(x-t)-K_{\psi,\alpha}(y-t)|^{2a}\mu(dx)\mu(dy)\\
&\leq\tilde{c}_7r^{2a}\iint\limits_{\substack{|x-y|<r\\2r<|y-t|}}\left(\frac{1}{|z_{x,y}|^{n+1}\phi^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(|z_{x,y}|^{-2})}\right)^{2a}\mu(dx)\mu(dy)
\end{aligned}$$

where $z_{x,y} = y - t + \theta_{x,y}(x-y)$ for some $\theta_{x,y} \in (0,1)$. Note that $\frac{|y-t|}{2} \leq |z_{x,y}| \leq 3$. By **(H1)** and **(H2)** there exist constants $\tilde{c}_8, \tilde{c}_9, \tilde{c}_{10} > 0$ such that

$$B_1 \leq \tilde{c}_8 \frac{r^{2a}}{\left(r^{\alpha\delta}\phi^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(r^{-2})\right)^{2a}} \iint\limits_{\substack{|x-y|<r \\ 2r<|y-t|}} \left(\frac{1}{|z_{x,y}|^{n+1-\alpha\delta}}\right)^{2a} \mu(dx)\mu(dy)$$
$$\leq \tilde{c}_9 \frac{r^{2a+d}}{\left(r^{\alpha\delta}\phi^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(r^{-2})\right)^{2a}} \int\limits_{|z|>2r} \left(\frac{1}{|z|^{n+1-\alpha\delta}}\right)^{2a} \mu(dz) = \tilde{c}_{10} \frac{r^{2d}}{\left(r^{n}\phi^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(r^{-2})\right)^{2a}}$$

if

$$2a(n+1-\alpha\delta) > d. \tag{B.5}$$

Similarly, if

$$2(1-a)(n+1-\alpha\delta) > n \tag{B.6}$$

then there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_{11} > 0$ such that $|x-y| < r$ implies

$$\int\limits_{2r<|y-t|} |K_{\psi,\alpha}(y-t) - K_{\psi,\alpha}(x-t)|^{2(1-a)} dt \leq \tilde{c}_{11} \frac{r^n}{\left(r^n \phi^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(r^{-2})\right)^{2(1-a)}}.$$

Therefore, $B \leq \tilde{c}_{10}\tilde{c}_{11}\frac{r^{2d-n}}{\phi(r^{-2})}||f||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Since $\frac{n-d}{2} < \alpha\delta_1 \leq \alpha\delta < \frac{n-d}{2} + 1$ it follows that

$$\left(\frac{d}{2(n+1-\alpha\delta)}, 1 - \frac{n}{2(n+1-\alpha\delta)}\right) \cap \left(1 - \frac{n}{2(n-\alpha\delta_1)}, \frac{d}{2(n-\alpha\delta_1)}\right) \neq \emptyset,$$

so we can choose a such that (B.3), (B.4), (B.5) and (B.6) hold. Combining the bounds for A and B we get the statement of the lemma. □

Proof of Theorem B.6: Let Ru be the pointwise restriction on D of the *strictly defined* function corresponding to $u \in H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e.

$$Ru(x) = \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{1}{\lambda(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} u(y)dy,\ x \in D.$$

Since $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$, by the Lebesgue differentiation theorem it holds that $Ru = u$ a.e. on D. Using the classical interpolation theorem for a special class of spaces associated with $H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we will show that the restriction operator R from $H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $H(D,\lambda_D)$ is continuous, i.e. that there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_1 > 0$ such that

$$||Ru||^{1,1}_{(2),D,\lambda_D} \leq \tilde{c}_1 ||u||_{\psi,1},\ \forall u \in H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{B.7}$$

where $\|\cdot\|^{1,1}_{(2),D,\lambda_D}$ is defined in (B.1). Denote by $a_j(x,y) = |Ru(x) - Ru(y)|1_{|x-y|<2^{-j}}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and let T be an operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $Tu = (a_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}$. Let $\alpha > 0$ be such that $0 < \alpha\delta_1 \leq$

$\alpha(\delta_2 \vee \delta_4) < 1$. Since $Ru = u$ a.e. on D for every $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by Lemma B.7 there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_2 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}\left(\phi^\alpha\left(2^{2j}\right)2^{j(2d-n)}\iint\limits_{|x-y|<2^{-j}}|Ru(x)-Ru(y)|^2\mu(dx)\mu(dy)\right)\le \tilde{c}_2||f||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for all $u = K_{\psi,\alpha} * f$, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $L = L^2(D \times D, \lambda_D \times \lambda_D)$. It follows that

$$(a_j)_j \in l^{\phi,\alpha}_\infty(L) = \{(\xi_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} : \xi_j \in L, ||\xi||_{l^{\phi,\alpha}_\infty(L)} = \sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}\phi^\alpha\left(2^{2j}\right)2^{nj}||\xi_j||^2_L < \infty\}$$

and that the operator T is bounded from $H^{\psi,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{K_{\psi,\alpha} * f : f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$ to $l^{\phi,\alpha}_\infty(L)$, i.e.

$$||(a_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}||_{l^{\phi,\alpha}_\infty(L)} \le \tilde{c}_2||K_{\psi,\alpha} * f||_{\psi,\alpha} := \tilde{c}_2||f||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Let $(X_1, X_2)_{\theta,2} := \{a : a \in X_1 + X_2, ||a||_{(X_1,X_2)_{\theta,2}} < \infty\}$ be the K-interpolation space of Banach spaces X_1 and X_2 and $||a||_{(X_1,X_2)_{\theta,2}} = \left(\int_0^\infty (t^{-\theta}K(t,a))^2\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where the Peetre K-functional is defined by $K(t,a) = \inf_{a=a_1+a_2}(||a_1||_{X_1} + t||a_2||_{X_2})$, see [35, Section 1.3, p.23]. Choose $\alpha_0 < 1 < \alpha_1$ such that $0 < \delta_1\alpha_0 \le (\delta_2 \vee \delta_4)\alpha_1 < 1$ and let $\theta = \frac{\alpha_1-1}{\alpha_1-\alpha_0} \in (0,1)$. By [35, Theorem 1.3.3(a)] and [36, Lemma 4.1], since T is bounded from $H^{\psi,\alpha_i}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $l^{\phi,\alpha_i}_\infty(L)$, $i = 0, 1$ it is also bounded from $(H^{\psi,\alpha_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{\psi,\alpha_1}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{\theta,2}$ to $(l^{\phi,\alpha_0}_\infty(L), l^{\phi,\alpha_1}_\infty(L))_{\theta,2}$. By a version of [35, Theorem 1.18.2], $(l^{\phi,\alpha_0}_\infty(L), l^{\phi,\alpha_1}_\infty(L))_{\theta,2} = l^{\phi,1}_2(L)$, where

$$l^{\phi,\alpha}_2(L) = \left\{(\xi_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} : \xi_j \in L, ||\xi||_{l^\phi_2(L)} = \left(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0}\phi^\alpha\left(2^{2j}\right)2^{nj}||\xi_j||^2_L\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty\right\}.$$

Furthermore, $(l^{\phi,\alpha_0}_2(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)), l^{\phi,\alpha_1}_2(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)))_{\theta,2} = l^{\phi,1}_2(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ and $H^{\psi,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a retract of the space $l^{\phi,\alpha}_2(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, [37, Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 3.4]. Therefore, the interpolation identity $(H^{\psi,\alpha_0}(\mathbb{R}^n), H^{\psi,\alpha_1}(\mathbb{R}^n))_{\theta,2} = H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ follows by [37, Theorem 5.3]. Combining these results we get (B.7).

Next we define the operator $\tilde{R}$ on $H^{a,1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ as

$$\tilde{R}u(x) = \lim_{r\to 0}\frac{1}{\lambda(B(x,r))}\int_{B(x,r)}u(y)dy,\ x \in \tilde{D}.$$

By calculations as in Lemma B.7, there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_4 > 0$ such that

$$||u||_{L^2(\tilde{D},\mu)} \le \tilde{c}_4||f||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}$$

for every $u = K_a * f$, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. By [9, Theorem 3.5.7] every function $u \in H^{a,1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ has a quasi continuous modification. That means that there exists a function $\tilde{u} \in H^{a,1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an open set G_ε such that $\tilde{u}_{|G^c_\varepsilon}$ is continuous,

$$\mathrm{Cap}_{a,1}(G_\varepsilon) = \inf\{||v||_{a,1} : v \in H^{a,1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), v \ge 1 \text{ a.e. on } G_\varepsilon\} < \varepsilon$$

and $\tilde{u} = u$ a.e. This means that outside of set $N = \cap_{\varepsilon>0} G_\varepsilon$ function u can be strictly defined and therefore $\tilde{R}u = u$ on N^c. To show that $\mu(N) = 0$, note that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a function $v \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ such that $v \geq 1$ on N and $||v||_{a,1} < \frac{\varepsilon}{\tilde{c}_4}$, so

$$\mu(N) \leq \left(\int_N |v(x)|^2 \mu(dy) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \tilde{c}_4 ||v||_{a,1} < \varepsilon$$

and therefore $\mu(N) = 0$. Next we show that $\tilde{R}$ is continuous, i.e. there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_4 > 0$ such that

$$||\tilde{R}u||^{1,1}_{(2),a,\tilde{D},\mu} \leq \tilde{c}_4 ||u||_{a,1}, \, \forall u \in H^{a,1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}). \tag{B.8}$$

By applying Lemma B.7 to the Bernstein function $\tilde{\phi}$ and 2α instead of α there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_5 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \left(a^\alpha (2^j) 2^{j(n-1)} \iint\limits_{|x-y|<2^{-j}} |\tilde{R}u(x) - \tilde{R}u(y)|^2 \mu(dx)\mu(dy) \right) \leq \tilde{c}_5 ||f||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}$$

for all $u = K_{a,\alpha} * f$, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ and $\alpha > 0$ satisfying condition (B.2),

$$\frac{1}{2} < \alpha \left(\delta_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \leq \alpha \left(\delta_2 \vee \delta_4 + \frac{1}{2} \right) < \frac{3}{2}.$$

The continuity of $\tilde{R}$ follows using the same interpolation argument as in the case of the restriction operator R.

Analogously, since $\mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\}$ is a n-set in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ the restriction operator $\bar{R}$ from $H^{a,1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ to $H_a(\mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\}, \bar{\mu})$ is also continuous. □

Next we prove the first part of the *extension theorem*. Again, we assume the conditions from Theorem 3.3 and notation from Remark B.5.

Theorem B.8 *There exists a continuous operator $\tilde{E}$ from $H_a(\tilde{D}, \mu)$ to $H^{a,1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ such that for all $u \in H_a(\tilde{D}, \mu)$*

$$\tilde{E}u = u, \; \mu\text{-a.e. on } \tilde{D}.$$

Proof. If B is a d-set in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ then by [11, Proposition VIII.1.1] the closure $\overline{B}$ of B is also a d-set and $\mu(\overline{B} \setminus B) = 0$ for every d-measure μ. Therefore, it is enough to prove the theorem for a closed n-set $\tilde{D}$. As in the classical Sobolev case, we define the operator $\tilde{E}$ from $H_a(\tilde{D}, \mu)$ to $H^{a,1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ using the Whitney decomposition of $\tilde{D}^c$ with some additional properties. Denote by x_i the center of the cube Q_i and by l_i and s_i its diameter and the side length. Let $\{Q_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a collection of closed cubes, with disjoint interiors and sides parallel to the axes such that $\tilde{D}^c = \cup Q_i$, $s_i = 2^{-M_i}$ for some $M_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $l_i \leq d(Q_i, \tilde{D}) \leq 4l_i$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ and denote by $Q_i^* = (1+\varepsilon)Q_i$ the cube with the same center as Q_i expanded by factor $1+\varepsilon$. If $x \in Q_k \cap Q_i^*$ then

$$1/4 s_k \leq s_i \leq 4 s_k \tag{B.9}$$

and Q_i and Q_k touch each other. This implies that every point in $\tilde{D}^c$ is covered by at most N_0 cubes Q_i^*, where $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ depends only on n. By [11, Section I.2.3] we can associate with decomposition $\{Q_i^*\}$ a partition of unity $\{\varphi_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e. a family of non-negative functions with the following properties:

$$\text{supp } \varphi_i \subset Q_i^*, \quad \sum \varphi_i = 1 \text{ on } \tilde{D}^c, \quad |D^j\varphi_i| \leq \tilde{c} l_i^{-|j|} \text{ for some } \tilde{c} > 0. \tag{B.10}$$

Let $\omega_i = \mu(B(x_i, 6l_i))^{-1}$ and $I = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : s_i \leq 1\}$. Note that $c_2^{-1}(6l_i)^{-n} \leq \omega_i \leq c_1^{-1}(6l_i)^{-n}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, where c_1 and c_2 are constants from Definition B.2. For $u \in H_a(\tilde{D}, \mu)$ define

$$\tilde{E}u(x) = \begin{cases} u(x), & x \in \tilde{D} \\ \sum_{i\in I} \varphi_i(x)\omega_i \int_{|y-x_i|<6l_i} u(y)\mu(dy), & x \notin \tilde{D}. \end{cases}$$

Note that supp $\tilde{E}u$ is bounded for every function u with bounded support. Since $\varphi_i \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it follows that for $u \in C_c^\infty(\tilde{D})$

$$\tilde{E}u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{B.11}$$

We will show that $\tilde{E}$ is a continuous operator from $(H_a(\tilde{D}, \mu), ||\cdot||^{c,N}_{(2),a,\tilde{D},\mu})$ for some c and N to $(H^{a,1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), ||\cdot||^{h_0}_{(1),a})$ for $h_0 = 2^{-6}$, i.e. that there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_1$ such that

$$||\tilde{E}u||^{h_0}_{(1),a} \leq \tilde{c}_1 ||u||^{c,N}_{(2),a,\tilde{D},\mu}, \quad \forall u \in H_a(\tilde{D}, \mu). \tag{B.12}$$

Recall that by Lemma B.4, norms of the form $||\cdot||^{c,N}_{(2),a,\tilde{D},\mu}$ are equivalent to the norm $||\cdot||_{(1),a,\tilde{D},\mu}$. Since $\tilde{D}$ is of Lebesgue measure zero in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ it is enough to prove (B.12) for $\tilde{E}u1_{\tilde{D}^c}$. For every $x \in \tilde{D}^c$ there exists a k such that $x \in Q_k$. If $s_k > 4$ then by (B.10) $x \notin Q_i^*$ for all $i \in I$ and $\tilde{E}u(x) = 0$. Therefore it is enough to consider the case when $s_k \leq 4$. Also note that $\sum_i \varphi_i(x) = \sum_{i\in I} \varphi_i(x)$ when $s_k < 1/4$.

Let $x \in Q_k$ and let $i \in I$ be such that $\phi_i(x) \neq 0$. Then for all $y \in B(x_i, 6l_i)$ we have

$$|y - x_k| \leq |y - x_i| + |x_i - x| + |x - x_k| \leq 6l_i + l_i + l_k \leq 29 l_k, \tag{B.13}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{E}u(x)| &\leq \sum_{i\in I} \varphi_i(x)\omega_i \int_{|y-x_i|<6l_i} |u(y)|\mu(dy) \\ &\leq \sum_{i\in I} \varphi_i(x) c_1^{-1} 6^{-n} l_i^{-n} \int_{|y-x_k|<29l_k} |u(y)|\mu(dy) \\ &\underset{\text{(B.9)}}{\leq} \sum_{i\in I} \varphi_i(x) c_1^{-1} 6^{-n} 4^n l_k^{-n} \int_{|y-x_k|<29l_k} |u(y)|\mu(dy) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq c_1^{-1}6^{-n}4^n l_k^{-n}\int_{|y-x_k|<29l_k}|u(y)|\mu(dy)$$
$$\leq c_1^{-1}6^{-n}4^n(c_2 29^n)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(l_k^{-n}\int_{|y-x_k|<29l_k}u^2(y)\mu(dy)\right)^{1/2}.$$

Let $\Delta_j=\bigcup_{\{k:s_k=2^{-j}\}}Q_k$. Note that there exists an integer N_1 depending only on n such that every point $y\in\tilde{D}^c$ is covered by at most N_1 balls $B(x_k,29l_k)$ where $Q_k\subset\Delta_j$. This follows from the fact that $|x_k-x_{k'}|\geq 2^{-j}$ and $l_k=\sqrt{n+1}2^{-j}$, for all $Q_k,Q_{k'}\subset\Delta_j$. By previous calculation it follows that for some $\tilde{c}_2>0$

$$\int_{\tilde{D}^c}|\tilde{E}u(x)|^2dx=\sum_{j=-2}^{\infty}\sum_{Q_k\subset\Delta_j}\int_{Q_k}|\tilde{E}u(x)|^2dx$$
$$\leq\tilde{c}_2\sum_{j=-2}^{\infty}\sum_{Q_k\subset\Delta_j}\int_{Q_k}\left(l_k^{-n}\int_{|y-x_k|<29l_k}u^2(y)\mu(dy)\right)dx$$
$$=\tilde{c}_2\sum_{j=-2}^{\infty}\sum_{Q_k\subset\Delta_j}l_k^{-n}s_k^{n+1}\int_{|y-x_k|<29l_k}u^2(y)\mu(dy)$$
$$\leq\sum_{j=-2}^{\infty}\frac{\tilde{c}_2}{(n+1)^{\frac{n}{2}}}2^{nj}2^{-(n+1)j}\sum_{Q_k\subset\Delta_j}\int_{|y-x_k|<29l_k}u^2(y)\mu(dy)$$
$$\leq\frac{\tilde{c}_2N_1}{(n+1)^{\frac{n}{2}}}||u||^2_{L^2(\tilde{D},\mu)}\sum_{j=-2}^{\infty}2^{-j}=\frac{\tilde{c}_2N_1 2^3}{(n+1)^{\frac{n}{2}}}||u||^2_{L^2(\tilde{D},\mu)}.$$

That implies

$$||\tilde{E}u1_{\tilde{D}^c}||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}\leq\left(\frac{\tilde{c}_2N_1}{(n+1)^{\frac{n}{2}}}+1\right)||u||^2_{L^2(\tilde{D},\mu)}. \tag{B.14}$$

Next, for $x\in\Delta_i$, $y\in\Delta_j$ and $|x-y|<2^{-i}/2$ we have

$$2^{-j}\sqrt{n+1}\leq d(\Delta_j,\tilde{D})\leq d(y,\tilde{D})\leq d(x,y)+d(x,\tilde{D})$$
$$\leq d(x,y)+5\sqrt{n+1}2^{-i}\leq\frac{11}{2}\sqrt{n+1}2^{-i},$$

so $j\geq i-2$. Analogously, $\sqrt{n+1}2^{-i}\leq 5\sqrt{n+1}2^{-j}+\frac{1}{2}2^{-i}$ so $2^{-i}<2^{-j+3}$ and $j\leq i+2$. Therefore,

$$x\in\Delta_i,\ |x-y|<2^{-i}/2\ \Rightarrow\ y\in\bigcup_{j=i-2}^{i+2}\Delta_j. \tag{B.15}$$

Since $\tilde{E}u(x) = 0$ for for $x \in \Delta_i$, $i \le -3$ it follows that $\tilde{E}u(y) = 0$ when $|x-y| < 2^{-i}/2$ for some $x \in \Delta_i$, $i \le -5$. Analogously, $\Delta_h^2(\tilde{E}u)(x) = 0$ if $|h| < 2^5/4$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{\tilde{D}^c}\int\limits_{|h|<h_0} |\Delta_h^2(\tilde{E}u)(x)|^2 \frac{a\left(|h|^{-1}\right)}{|h|^{n+1}} dh\,dx \le \sum_{i=-4}^{\infty} \iint\limits_{\substack{x\in\Delta_i\\ |h|<2^{-4}/4}} |\Delta_h^2(\tilde{E}u)(x)|^2 \frac{a\left(|h|^{-1}\right)}{|h|^{n+1}} dh\,dx \\
&\le \sum_{i=-4}^{\infty} \iint\limits_{\substack{x\in\Delta_i\\ |h|<2^{-i}/4}} |\Delta_h^2(\tilde{E}u)(x)|^2 \frac{a\left(|h|^{-1}\right)}{|h|^{n+1}} dh\,dx + \sum_{i=5}^{\infty} \iint\limits_{\substack{x\in\Delta_i\\ 2^{-i}/4\le|h|<2^{-4}/4}} |\Delta_h^2(\tilde{E}u)(x)|^2 \frac{a\left(|h|^{-1}\right)}{|h|^{n+1}} dh\,dx \\
&= A + B.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $h_i = 2^{-i}/4$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
B &= \sum_{i=5}^{\infty}\sum_{m=4}^{i-1} \int\limits_{h_{m+1}\le|h|<h_m} \frac{a\left(|h|^{-1}\right)}{|h|^{n+1}} \int\limits_{x\in\Delta_i} |\Delta_h^2(\tilde{E}u)(x)|^2 dx\,dh \\
&= \sum_{m=4}^{\infty} \int\limits_{h_{m+1}\le|h|<h_m} \frac{a\left(|h|^{-1}\right)}{|h|^{n+1}} \int\limits_{x\in F_{m+1}} |\Delta_h^2(\tilde{E}u)(x)|^2 dx\,dh \\
&\overset{(2.1)}{\le} 2^{3n+9} \sum_{m=4}^{\infty} a\left(2^m\right) 2^{m(n+1)} \iint\limits_{\substack{x\in F_{m+1}\\ h_{m+1}\le|h|<h_m}} |\Delta_h^2(\tilde{E}u)(x)|^2 dx\,dh.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly as in (B.15), for $x \in F_{i+1} = \bigcup\limits_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \Delta_j$ and $|h| < h_i$ it follows that $x, x+h, x+2h \in F_{i-2}$. Since

$$|\Delta_h^2(\tilde{E}u)(x)|^2 \le 2\left(|\tilde{E}u(x) - \tilde{E}u(x+h)|^2 + |\tilde{E}u(x+h) - \tilde{E}u(x+2h)|^2\right)$$

it follows that

$$B \le 2^{3n+11} \sum_{m=4}^{\infty} a\left(2^m\right) 2^{m(n+1)} \iint\limits_{\substack{x,y\in F_{m-2}\\ |x-y|<h_m}} (\tilde{E}u(x) - \tilde{E}u(y))^2 dx\,dy. \tag{B.16}$$

For $k, m \ge 2$ and $x \in \Delta_k$ and $y \in \Delta_m$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{E}u(x) - \tilde{E}u(y)| &= \left|\sum_i \varphi_i(x)\omega_i \int\limits_{|s-x_i|<6l_i} u(s)\mu(ds) - \sum_j \varphi_j(y)\omega_j \int\limits_{|t-x_j|<6l_j} u(t)\mu(dt)\right| \\
&= \left|\sum_i\sum_j \varphi_i(x)\varphi_j(y)\omega_i\omega_j \iint\limits_{\substack{|s-x_i|<6l_i\\ |t-x_j|<6l_j}} u(s)\mu(ds)\mu(dt) - \sum_j\sum_i \varphi_j(y)\varphi_i(x)\omega_j\omega_i \iint\limits_{\substack{|t-x_j|<6l_j\\ |s-x_i|<6l_i}} u(t)\mu(dt)\mu(ds)\right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \sum_i \sum_j \varphi_i(x)\varphi_j(y)\omega_i\omega_j \iint\limits_{\substack{|s-x_i|<6l_i\\ |t-x_j|<6l_j}} |u(s)-u(t)|\mu(ds)\mu(dt)$$

Since

$$x \in \Delta_k,\ \varphi_i(x) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{8} l_k \leq l_i \leq 64 l_k \tag{B.17}$$

there exist $\tilde{c}_3, \tilde{c}_4 > 0$ such that

$$|\tilde{E}u(x) - \tilde{E}u(y)| \overset{(B.13)}{\leq} \tilde{c}_3 \sum_i \sum_j \varphi_i(x)\varphi_j(y) l_k^{-n} l_m^{-n} \iint\limits_{\substack{|s-x_k|<29l_k\\ |t-x_m|<29l_m}} |u(s)-u(t)|\mu(ds)\mu(dt)$$

$$\leq \tilde{c}_4 \left(l_k^{-n} l_m^{-n} \iint\limits_{\substack{|s-x_k|<29l_k\\ |t-x_m|<29l_m}} (u(t)-u(s))^2 \mu(dt)\mu(ds) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Here x_k, x_m are the centers and l_k, l_m diameters of cubes $Q_p \subset \Delta_k$ and $Q_r \subset \Delta_m$ containing x and y respectively. Now it follows that for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $y \in \Delta_m$ and $k, m \geq 2$

$$\int_{\substack{x\in\Delta_k,\\ |x-y|<2^{-i}}} |\tilde{E}u(x) - \tilde{E}u(y)|^2 dx \leq \tilde{c}_4^2 \int_{\substack{x\in\Delta_k\\ |x-y|<2^{-i}}} l_k^{-n} l_m^{-n} \iint\limits_{\substack{|s-x_k|<29l_k\\ |t-x_m|<29l_m}} (u(t)-u(s))^2 \mu(dt)\mu(ds)dx$$

$$\leq \tilde{c}_4^2 l_k^{-n} l_m^{-n} N_0 s_k^{-(n+1)} \iint\limits_{\substack{|s-y|<c2^{-k}+2^{-i}\\ |t-x_m|<29l_m}} (u(t)-u(s))^2 \mu(dt)\mu(ds),$$

where $c = 30\sqrt{n+1}$. Analogously, we get

$$\iint\limits_{\substack{x\in\Delta_k,\, y\in\Delta_m\\ |x-y|<2^{-i}}} |\tilde{E}u(x) - \tilde{E}u(y)|^2 dxdy \leq \tilde{c}_4^2 (n+1)^{-n} N_0^2\, 2^{-k} 2^{-m} \iint\limits_{|t-s|<2^{-i}+c2^{-k}+c2^{-m}} (u(t)-u(s))^2 d\mu(s) d\mu(t).$$

This implies that for $i \geq 4$ and $\tilde{c}_5 = \tilde{c}_4^2 (n+1)^{-n} N_0^2$

$$\iint\limits_{\substack{x,y\in F_{i-2}\\ |x-y|<2^{-i}}} |\tilde{E}u(x) - \tilde{E}u(y)|^2 dx\, dy = \sum_{k,m=i-2}^{\infty} \iint\limits_{\substack{x\in\Delta_k,\, y\in\Delta_m\\ |x-y|<2^{-i}}} |\tilde{E}u(x) - \tilde{E}u(y)|^2 dxdy$$

$$\leq \tilde{c}_5 \left(\sum_{k,m=i-2}^{\infty} 2^{-k} 2^{-m} \right) \iint\limits_{|t-s|<(8c+1)2^{-i}} (u(t)-u(s))^2 \mu(ds)\mu(dt)$$

and by applying this to (B.16) we get that

$$B \le \tilde{c}_5 2^{3n+17} \sum_{i=4}^{\infty} a\left(2^i\right) 2^{i(n-1)} \iint\limits_{|t-s|<(8c+1)2^{-i}} (u(t)-u(s))^2 \mu(ds)\mu(dt). \tag{B.18}$$

Next, by the mean value theorem there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_6 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} A &\le \tilde{c}_6 \sum_{i=-4}^{\infty} \int\limits_{|h|<2^{-i}} \left(\sum_{|j|=2} \int\limits_{\Delta_i} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |h|^4 |D^j(\tilde{E}u)(x+(t_1+t_2)h)|^2 dt_1\, dt_2\, dx \right) \frac{a\left(|h|^{-1}\right)}{|h|^{n+1}} dh \\ &\overset{\text{(B.15)}}{\le} \tilde{c}_6 \sum_{i=-4}^{\infty} \int\limits_{|h|<2^{-i}} |h|^4 \left(\sum_{|j|=2} \int\limits_{F_{i-2}\setminus F_{i+3}} |D^j(\tilde{E}u)(z)|^2 dz \right) \frac{a\left(|h|^{-1}\right)}{|h|^{n+1}} dh. \end{aligned}$$

Since $D^j(\tilde{E}u)(z) = 0$ if $z \in \Delta_i$ and $i \le -3$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} A &\le 5\tilde{c}_6 \sum_{i=-2}^{\infty} \int_{|h|<2^{-i}} \frac{a\left(|h|^{-1}\right)}{|h|^{n-3}} dh \sum_{|j|=2} \int_{\Delta_i} |D^j(\tilde{E}u)(z)|^2 dz \\ &\overset{\text{(A.2)(A.3)}}{\le} 5\tilde{c}_6 (a_2 \vee a_4) \sum_{i=-2}^{\infty} a(2^i) 2^{-i(2\delta_2 \vee \delta_4 + 1)} \int_{|h|<2^{-i}} \frac{1}{|h|^{n-2+2\delta_2\vee\delta_4}} dh \sum_{|j|=2} \int_{\Delta_i} |D^j(\tilde{E}u)(z)|^2 dz \\ &\le \tilde{c}_7 \sum_{i=-2}^{\infty} a(2^i) 2^{-4i} \sum_{|j|=2} \int_{\Delta_i} |D^j(\tilde{E}u)(z)|^2 dz. \end{aligned} \tag{B.19}$$

for some $\tilde{c}_7 > 0$. Take $z, y \in Q_m \subset \Delta_l$, $l \ge 2$ and $|j| = 2$. Since $\sum_i D^j \varphi_i(z) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |D^j(\tilde{E}u)(z)| &= \left| \sum_i D^j\varphi_i(z)\omega_i \int\limits_{|s-x_i|<6l_i} (u(s) - \tilde{E}u(y))\mu(ds) \right| \\ &\le \sum_i \sum_k |D^j\varphi_i(z)| \varphi_m(y) \omega_i \omega_k \iint\limits_{\substack{|s-x_i|<6l_i \\ |t-x_k|<6l_k}} |u(s)-u(t)| \mu(ds)\mu(dt) \\ &\le \sum_i \sum_k |D^j\varphi_i(z)| \varphi_k(y) \left(\omega_i\omega_k \iint\limits_{\substack{|s-x_i|<6l_i \\ |t-x_k|<6l_k}} |u(s)-u(t)|^2 \mu(ds)\mu(dt) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that there are at most N_0 indices i for which $z \in Q_i^*$ and $D^j\varphi_i(z) \ne 0$. By (B.9) and (B.10) $z \in Q_i^*$ implies $\omega_i \asymp l_m^{-n}$ and $|D^j\varphi_i(z)| \le \tilde{c} l_i^{-|j|} \le \tilde{c} \left(\frac{1}{4} l_m\right)^{-|j|}$. Also, by (B.17) $\omega_k \asymp l_m^{-n}$ for k such

that $\varphi_k(y) \neq 0$. Therefore, there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_8 > 0$ such that

$$|D^j(\tilde{E}u)(z)| \overset{(B.13)}{\leq} \tilde{c}_8 l_m^{-2} \left(l_m^{-2n} \iint\limits_{\substack{|s-x_m|<29l_m \\ |t-x_m|<29l_m}} |u(s)-u(t)|^2 \mu(ds)\mu(dt) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Applying this inequality to (B.19) we arrive to

$$A \leq \frac{\tilde{c}_8}{(n+1)^{n+2}} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} a(2^i) 2^{-4i} \sum_{|j|=2} \sum_{Q_m \subset \Delta_i} \int_{Q_m} 2^{4i+2in} \iint\limits_{\substack{|s-x_m|<29l_m \\ |t-x_m|<29l_m}} |u(s)-u(t)|^2 \mu(ds)\mu(dt)dz$$

and since every $s \in \tilde{D}^c$ is covered by at most N_1 balls $B(x_m, 29l_m)$ it follows that

$$A \leq \frac{\tilde{c}_8(n+2)}{2(n+1)^{n+1}} N_1 \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} a(2^i) 2^{i(n-1)} \iint\limits_{|s-t|<60\sqrt{n+1}2^{-i}} |u(s)-u(t)|^2 \mu(ds)\mu(dt). \tag{B.20}$$

For the remaining part in A, take $z \in \Delta_k$, $k \geq -2$. By the same arguments as before,

$$\begin{aligned} |D^j(\tilde{E}u)(z)| &\leq \sum_i |D^j \varphi_i(z)| \omega_i \int\limits_{|s-x_i|<6l_i} |u(s)|\mu(ds) \overset{(B.10)}{\leq} \tilde{c} \sum_{\varphi_i(z)\neq 0} l_i^{-2} \left(\omega_i \int\limits_{|s-x_i|<6l_i} |u(s)|^2 \mu(ds) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \tilde{c}_9 2^{2k} \left(2^{nk} \int\limits_{|s-x_k|<29l_k} |u(s)|^2 \mu(ds) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $\sum_{i=-2}^{1} \int_{\Delta_i} |D(\tilde{E}u)(z)|^2 dz \leq \tilde{c}_{10} ||u||_{L^2(\tilde{D},\mu)}$ for some $\tilde{c}_9, \tilde{c}_{10} > 0$. This inequality together with (B.14), (B.18) and (B.20) implies (B.12) for $h_0 = 2^{-6}$, $N = 2$ and $c = 240\sqrt{n+1}+1$. □

Next we have to prove that $\tilde{E}$ is truly the extension operator for $\tilde{R}$.

Theorem B.9 *For every $u \in H_a(\tilde{D}, \mu)$, $\tilde{R}\tilde{E}u = u$ μ-a.e.*

Proof. Take $t_0 \in \tilde{D}$, $u \in H_a(\tilde{D}, \mu)$ and $r > 0$ small enough. Similarly as in the proof of Theorem B.8, there exist constants $\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2 > 0$ such that for $k \geq 2$

$$\int\limits_{\substack{x\in\Delta_k \\ |x-t_0|\leq r}} (\tilde{E}u(x) - u(t_0))^2 dx = \int\limits_{\substack{x\in\Delta_k \\ |x-t_0|\leq r}} \left(\sum_i \varphi_i(x)\omega_i \int_{|t-x_i|<6l_i} u(t)\mu(dt) - u(t_0) \right)^2 dx$$

$$\leq \tilde{c}_1 \int\limits_{\substack{x\in\Delta_k \\ |x-t_0|\leq r}} N_0^2 2^{2kn} \left(\int\limits_{|t-x_k|<29l_k} |u(t)-u(t_0)|\mu(dt) \right)^2 dx$$
$$\leq \tilde{c}_2 2^{-k} \int\limits_{|t-t_0|<r+29l_k} (u(t)-u(t_0))^2 \mu(dt).$$

Let $i_0 \geq 2$ be such that $l_{i_0} \leq r < l_{i_0-1}$. Since $t_0 \in \tilde{D}$ it follows that $\{x \in \tilde{D}^c : |x-t_0| < r\} \subset \bigcup_{i=i_0}^{\infty} \Delta_i$ and

$$\int_{|x-t_0|\leq r} (\tilde{E}u(x)-u(t_0))^2 dx \leq \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} \tilde{c}_2 2^{-i} \int_{|t-t_0|<30r} (u(t)-u(t_0))^2 \mu(dt)$$
$$\leq \tilde{c}_3 r \int_{|t-t_0|<30r} (u(t)-u(t_0))^2 \mu(dt)$$
$$\overset{(2.1)}{\leq} \tilde{c}_4 \frac{r^n}{a(r^{-1})} \int_{|t-t_0|<30r} (u(t)-u(t_0))^2 \frac{a(|t-t_0|^{-1})}{|t-t_0|^{n-1}} \mu(dt),$$

for some $\tilde{c}_3, \tilde{c}_4 > 0$. Since $u \in H_a(\tilde{D}, \mu)$ the last integral is finite for μ-almost all t_0 and decreasing as r goes to 0. Therefore, since $\lim\limits_{r\to 0} \dfrac{1}{a(r^{-1})r} = 0$

$$|R\tilde{E}u(t_0) - u(t_0)| = \lim_{r\to 0} \left| \frac{1}{\lambda(B(t_0,r))} \int_{B(t_0,r)} \tilde{E}u(x)dx - u(t_0) \right|$$
$$\leq \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2}+1)}{\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \left(r^{-(n+1)} \int_{|x-t_0|\leq r} (\tilde{E}u(x)-u(t_0))^2 dx \right)^{1/2} = 0$$

for μ almost all t_0. □

Finally, we can define the extension operator E and prove it is bounded.

Theorem B.10 *There exists a continuous extension operator E from $H(D, \lambda_D)$ to $H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. Take $u \in H(D, \lambda_D)$ and let $\tilde{u}$ be the corresponding function in $H_a(\tilde{D}, \mu)$, Remark B.5(ii). By Theorem B.8 function $\tilde{u}$ can be extended to a function $\tilde{E}\tilde{u} \in H^{a,1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, which can then be restricted to a function in $H_a(\mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\}, \bar{\mu})$ applying the continuous restriction operator $\bar{R}$, Theorem B.6. Since the function space $H_a(\mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\}, \bar{\mu})$ can be considered as $H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define the extension operator E as

$$(Eu)(x) = (\bar{R}\tilde{E}\tilde{u})(x,0),\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Note that by (B.11), $Eu \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $x \in C_c^\infty(D)$. Furthermore, the continuity of E follows from the continuity of the extension and restriction operators $\tilde{E}$ and $\bar{R}$. Finally, we show that E is truly the extension operator for R. Since $R\bar{R}u = \tilde{R}u$ for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ and $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ is dense in

$H^{a,1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ it follows that $REu = u$ almost everywhere for all $u \in H^{\psi,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. □

Proof of Theorem 3.3: The proof follows directly from Theorem B.6 and Theorem B.10 combined with Remark B.5(i). □

Using Theorem 3.9 we arrive to the following result on the correspondence of spaces $H^{\psi,1}(D)$ and $H_0^{\psi,1}(D)$.

Corollary B.11 *Suppose that $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open n-set, ϕ is a complete Bernstein function such that* **(H1)** *and* **(H2)** *hold and $\psi(\xi) = \phi(|\xi|^2)$.*

(i) Suppose that $2\delta_2 \leq n$ and that $\mathcal{H}_h(\partial D \cap K_m) < \infty$ for an increasing sequence of Borel sets K_m such that $\cup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} K_m \supset \partial D$, where $h(r) = r^{n-2\delta_2}$ if $2\delta_2 < n$ and $h(r) = \max\{\log r, 0\}$ when $2\delta_2 = n = 1$, then $H^{\psi,1}(D) = H_0^{\psi,1}(D)$.

(ii) If $2(\delta_1 \wedge \delta_3) \geq n = 1$ or $\mathcal{H}^d(\partial D) > 0$ for some $d > n - 2\delta_1 \geq 0$ then $H_0^{\psi,1}(D) \subsetneq H^{\psi,1}(D)$.

References

[1] K. Bogdan, K. Burdzy, Z.-Q. Chen, Censored stable processes, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 127 (2003) 89–152.

[2] Z.-Q. Chen, P. Kim, Green function estimate for censored stable processes, Probabability Theory and Related Fields 124 (2002) 595–610.

[3] P. Kim, Fatou's theorem for censored stable processes, Stochastic Process. Appl. 108 (2003) 63–92.

[4] Z.-Q. Chen, R. Song, Hardy inequality for censored stable processes, Tohoku Math. J. 55 (2003) 439–450.

[5] A. Mimica, Z. Vondraček, Unavoidable collections of balls for censored stable processes, J.Math.Anal.Appl. 419 (2014) 938–958.

[6] M. Silverstein, The reflected Dirichlet space, Illinois J. Math 18 (1974) 310–355.

[7] P. Kim, R. Song, Z. Vondraček, Two-sided green function estimates for killed subordinate Brownian motions, Proc. London Math. Soc. 104 (2012) 927–958.

[8] P. Kim, H. Park, R. Song, Sharp estimates on the Green functions of perturbations of subordinate Brownian motions in bounded κ-fat open sets, Potential Anal. 38 (2013) 319–344.

[9] E. Farkas, Function spaces of generalized smoothness and pseudo-differential operators associated to a continuous negative definite function, Habilitationschrift, Ludwig-Maximilian Universität München, 2002.

[10] E. Farkas, H.-G. Leopold, Characterisations of function spaces of generalized smoothness, Annali di Matematica 185 (2006) 1–62.

[11] A. Jonsson, H. Wallin, Function Spaces on Subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$, Mathematical Reports 2, Part 1, Harwood Acad. Publ., 1984.

[12] V. Knopova, M. Zähle, Spaces of generalized smoothness on h-sets and related Dirichlet forms, Studia Math 174 (2006) 277–308.

[13] P.Kim, R.Song, Z.Vondraček, Martin boundary for some symmetric Lévy processes, Festschrift Masatoshi Fukushima, Eds. Z.-Q.Chen, N.Jacob, M.Takeda, T.Uemura, World Scientific (2015) 307–342.

[14] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima, M. Takeda, Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes, 2nd Edition, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2010.

[15] R. Blumenthal, R. Getoor, Markov Processes and Potential Theory, Academic Press, 1968.

[16] N. Ikeda, M. Nagasawa, S. Watanabe, A construction of Markov processes by piecing out, Proc. Japan Acad. 42 (1966) 370–375.

[17] K. L. Chung, Z. Zhao, From Brownian motion to Schrödinger's equation, 1st Edition, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1995.

[18] Z.-Q. Chen, M. Fukushima, Symmetric Markov Processes, Time Change and Boundary Theory, Princeton University Press, 2012.

[19] W. Hansen, Uniform boundary Harnack principle and generalized triangle property, Journal of Functional Analysis 226 (2005) 452–484.

[20] P. Kim, Y.-R. Lee, Generalized 3G theorem and application to relativistic stable process on non-smooth open sets, Journal of Functional Analysis 246 (2007) 113–143.

[21] P. Kim, R. Song, Z. Vondraček, Boundary Harnack principle for subordinate Brownian motion, Stochastic Process. Appl. 119 (2009) 1601–1631.

[22] Z.-Q. Chen, Gaugeability and conditional gaugeability, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc 354 (2002) 4639–4678.

[23] P. Sztonyk, On harmonic measure for Lévy processes, Probab. Math. Statist. 20 (2000) 383–390.

[24] P. Kim, R. Song, Z. Vondraček, Global uniform boundary Harnack principle with explicit decay rate and its application, Stochastic Process. Appl. 124 (2014) 235–267.

[25] S. Moura, On some characterizations of Besov spaces of generalized smoothness, Math.Nachr. 280 (2007) 1190–1199.

[26] R. Schilling, R. Song, Z. Vondraček, Bernstein Functions. Theory and Applications, De Gruyter, 2009.

[27] I. J. Schoenberg, Metric spaces and completely monotone functions, Annals of Mathematics 39 (1938) 811–841.

[28] L. Grafakos, Classical Fourier Analysis, 2nd Edition, Springer, 2008.

[29] N. Leonenko, Limit theorems for random fields with singular spectrum, Springer, 1999.

[30] N. Bingham, C. Goldie, J. Teugels, Regular variation, Cambridge University Press, 1987.

[31] N. Jacob, Pseudo differential operators and Markov Processes, Vol. I, Fourier Analysis and Semigroups, Imperial College Press, London, 2001.

[32] P. Kim, R. Song, Z. Vondraček, Uniform boundary principle for rotationally symmetric Lévy processes in general open sets, Science China Math. 55 (2012) 2317–2333.

[33] H. Triebel, Theory of Function Spaces II, Birkhäuser, 2010.

[34] A. Caetano, S. Lopes, Homogeneity, non-smooth atoms and Besov spaces of generalized smoothness on quasi-metric spaces, Dissertationes Math. 460 (2009) 1–44.

[35] H. Triebel, Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators, North-Holland Mathematical Library, Berlin, 1978.

[36] A. Almeida, A. Caetano, Real interpolation of generalized Besov-Hardy spaces and applications, Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications 17 (2010) 691–719.

[37] F. Cobos, D. Fernandez, Hardy-Sobolev spaces and Besov spaces with a function parameter. Function Spaces and Applications (Lund, 1986), 158-170, Lecture Notes in Math., 1302, Springer, Berlin, 1988.